arXiv:0710.1530v1 [math.RT] 8 Oct 2007

Canonical Forms for Unitary Congruence and *Congruence

Roger A. Horn* and Vladimir V. Sergeichuk†

Abstract

We use methods of the general theory of congruence and *congruence for complex matrices—regularization and cosquares—to determine a unitary congruence canonical form (respectively, a unitary *congruence canonical form) for complex matrices A such that $\bar{A}A$ (respectively, A^2) is normal.

As special cases of our canonical forms, we obtain—in a coherent and systematic way—known canonical forms for conjugate normal, congruence normal, coninvolutory, involutory, projection, λ-projection, and unitary matrices. But we also obtain canonical forms for matrices whose squares are Hermitian or normal, and other cases that do not seem to have been investigated previously.

We show that the classification problems under (a) unitary *congruence when A^3 is normal, and (b) unitary congruence when $A\bar{A}A$ is normal, are both unitarily wild, so there is no reasonable hope that a simple solution to them can be found.

1 Introduction

We use methods of the general theory of congruence and *congruence for complex matrices—regularization and cosquares—to determine a unitary congruence canonical form (respectively, a unitary *congruence canonical form) for complex matrices A such that $\bar{A}A$ (respectively, A^2) is normal.

We prove a regularization algorithm that reduces any singular matrix by unitary congruence or unitary *congruence to a special block form. For matrices of the two special types under consideration, this special block form is a direct sum of a nonsingular matrix and a singular matrix; the singular summand is a direct sum of a zero matrix and some canonical singular 2-by-2 blocks. Analysis of the cosquare and *cosquare of the nonsingular direct summand reveals 1-by-1 and 2-by-2 nonsingular canonical blocks.

---

*Mathematics Department, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA 84103, `rhorn@math.utah.edu`

†Institute of Mathematics, Tereshchenkivska 3, Kiev, Ukraine, `sergeich@imath.kiev.ua`.
Partially supported by FAPESP (São Paulo), processo 05/59407-6.

As special cases of our canonical forms, we obtain—in a coherent and systematic way—known canonical forms for conjugate normal, congruence normal, coninvolutory, involutory, projection, and unitary matrices. But we also obtain canonical forms for matrices whose squares are Hermitian or normal, λ-projections, and other cases that do not seem to have been investigated previously. Moreover, the meaning of the parameters in the various canonical forms is revealed, along with an understanding of when two matrices in a given type are in the same equivalence class.

Finally, we show that the classification problems under (a) unitary *congruence when A^3 is normal, and (b) unitary congruence when $A\bar{A}A$ is normal, are both unitarily wild, so there is no reasonable hope that a simple solution to them can be found.

2 Notation and definitions

All the matrices that we consider are complex. We denote the set of n-by-n complex matrices by M_n. The *transpose* of $A = [a_{ij}] \in M_n$ is $A^T = [a_{ji}]$ and the *conjugate transpose* is $A^* = \bar{A}^T = [\bar{a}_{ji}]$; the *trace* of A is $\operatorname{tr} A = a_{11} + \cdots + a_{nn}$.

We say that $A \in M_n$ is: *unitary* if $A^*A = I$; *coninvolutory* if $\bar{A}A = I$; a λ*-projection* if $A^2 = \lambda A$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ (*involutory* if $\lambda = 1$); *normal* if $A^*A = AA^*$; *conjugate normal* if $A^*A = \overline{AA^*}$; *squared normal* if A^2 is normal; and *congruence normal* if $\bar{A}A$ is normal. For example, a unitary matrix is both normal and conjugate normal; a Hermitian matrix is normal but need not be conjugate normal; a symmetric matrix is conjugate normal but need not be normal.

If A is nonsingular, it is convenient to write $A^{-T} = (A^{-1})^T$ and $A^{-*} = (A^{-1})^*$; the *cosquare* of A is $A^{-T}A$ and the **cosquare* is $A^{-*}A$.

We consider the *congruence* equivalence relation ($A = SBS^T$ for some nonsingular S) and the finer equivalence relation *unitary congruence* ($A = UBU^T$ for some unitary U). We also consider the **congruence* equivalence relation ($A = SBS^*$ for some nonsingular S) and the finer equivalence relation *unitary *congruence* ($A = UBU^*$ for some unitary U). Two pairs of square matrices of the same size (A, B) and (C, D) are said to be *congruent*, and we write $(A, B) = S(C, D)S^T$, if there is a nonsingular S such that $A = SBS^T$ and $C = SDS^T$; *unitary congruence*, **congruence*, and *unitary *congruence* of two pairs of matrices are defined analogously.

Our consistent point of view is that unitary *congruence is a special kind of *congruence (rather than a special kind of similarity) that is to be analyzed with methods from the general theory of *congruence. In a parallel development, we treat unitary congruence as a special kind of congruence, rather than as a special kind of consimilarity. [8, Section 4.6]

The *null space* of a matrix A is denoted by $N(A) = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^n : Ax = 0\}$; $\dim N(A)$, the dimension of $N(A)$, is the *nullity* of A. The quantities $\dim N(A)$, $\dim N(A^T)$, $\dim \big(N(A) \cap N(A^T)\big)$, $\dim N(A^*)$, and $\dim (N(A) \cap N(A^*))$ play an important role because of their invariance properties: $\dim N(A)$, $\dim N(A^T)$, and $\dim\left(N(A)\cap N(A^T)\right)$ are invariant under congruence; $\dim N(A)$, $\dim N(A^*)$, and $\dim\left(N(A)\cap N(A^*)\right)$ are invariant under *congruence.

Suppose $A, U \in M_n$ and U is unitary. A computation reveals that if A is conjugate normal (respectively, congruence normal) then UAU^T is conjugate normal (respectively, congruence normal); if A is normal (respectively, squared normal) then UAU^* is normal (respectively, squared normal). Moreover, if $A \in M_n$ and $B \in M_m$, one verifies that $A \oplus B$ is, respectively, conjugate normal, congruence normal, normal, or squared normal if and only if each of A and B has the respective property.

Matrices A, B of the same size (not necessarily square) are *unitarily equivalent* if there are unitary matrices V, W such that $A = VBW$. Two matrices are unitarily equivalent if and only if they have the same singular values, that is, the *singular value decomposition* is a canonical form for unitary equivalence.

Each $A \in M_n$ has a *left* (respectively, *right*) *polar decomposition* $A = PW$ (respectively, $A = WQ$) in which the Hermitian positive semidefinite factors $P = (AA^*)^{1/2}$ and $Q = (A^*A)^{1/2}$ are uniquely determined, W is unitary, and $W = AQ^{-1} = P^{-1}A$ is uniquely determined if A is nonsingular.

A matrix of the form

$$J_k(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \in M_k$$

is a *Jordan block with eigenvalue* λ. The n-by-n identity and zero matrices are denoted by I_n and 0_n, respectively.

The *Frobenius norm* of a matrix A is $\|A\|_F = \sqrt{\operatorname{tr}(A^*A)}$: the square root of the sum of the squares of the absolute values of the entries of A. The *spectral norm* of A is its largest singular value.

In matters of notation and terminology, we follow the conventions in [8].

3 Cosquares, *cosquares, and canonical forms for congruence and *congruence

The Jordan Canonical Form of a cosquare or a *cosquare has a very special structure.

Theorem 3.1 ([13], [24, Theorem 2.3.1]) *Let $\mathfrak{A} \in M_n$ be nonsingular.*

(a) $\mathfrak{A}$ is a cosquare if and only if its Jordan Canonical Form is

$$\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\rho}\left(J_{r_k}\left((-1)^{r_k+1}\right)\right)\oplus\bigoplus_{j=1}^{\sigma}\left(J_{s_j}(\gamma_j)\oplus J_{s_j}\left(\gamma_j^{-1}\right)\right), \quad \gamma_j \in \mathbb{C},\ 0 \neq \gamma_j \neq (-1)^{s_j+1}. \tag{1}$$

$\mathfrak{A}$ *is a cosquare that is diagonalizable by similarity if and only if its Jordan Canonical Form is*

$$I \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{q} \begin{bmatrix} \mu_j I_{n_j} & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_j^{-1} I_{n_j} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mu_j \in \mathbb{C},\ 0 \neq \mu_j \neq 1, \tag{2}$$

in which $\mu_1, \mu_1^{-1}, \ldots, \mu_q, \mu_q^{-1}$ *are the distinct eigenvalues of* $\mathfrak{A}$ *such that each* $\mu_j \neq 1$*;* $n_1, n_1, \ldots, n_q, n_q$ *are their respective multiplicities; the parameters* μ_j *in (2) are determined by* $\mathfrak{A}$ *up to replacement by* μ_j^{-1}*.*

(b) $\mathfrak{A}$ *is a *cosquare if and only if its Jordan Canonical Form is*

$$\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\rho} J_{r_k}(\beta_k) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\sigma} \left(J_{s_j}(\gamma_j) \oplus J_{s_j}(\bar{\gamma}_j^{-1}) \right), \quad \beta_k, \gamma_j \in \mathbb{C},\ \ |\beta_k| = 1,\ 0 < |\gamma_j| < 1. \tag{3}$$

$\mathfrak{A}$ *is a *cosquare that is diagonalizable by similarity if and only if its Jordan Canonical Form is*

$$\bigoplus_{k=1}^{p} \lambda_k I_{m_k} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{q} \begin{bmatrix} \mu_j I_{n_j} & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\mu}_j^{-1} I_{n_j} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \lambda_k, \mu_j \in \mathbb{C},\ |\lambda_k| = 1,\ 0 < |\mu_j| < 1, \tag{4}$$

in which $\mu_1, \bar{\mu}_1^{-1}, \ldots, \mu_q, \bar{\mu}_q^{-1}$ *are the distinct eigenvalues of* $\mathfrak{A}$ *such that each* $|\mu_j| \in (0,1)$*;* $n_1, n_1, \ldots, n_q, n_q$ *are their respective multiplicities. The distinct unimodular eigenvalues of* $\mathfrak{A}$ *are* $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_p$ *and their respective multiplicities are* $m_1, \ldots, m_p$*.*

The following theorem involves three types of blocks

$$\Gamma_k = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & & (-1)^{k+1} \\ & & & \iddots & (-1)^k \\ & & 1 & \iddots & \\ & -1 & -1 & & \\ 1 & 1 & & & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in M_k, \quad (\Gamma_1 = [1]), \tag{5}$$

$$\Delta_k = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & 1 \\ & & \iddots & i \\ & 1 & \iddots & \\ 1 & i & & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in M_k, \quad (\Delta_1 = [1]), \tag{6}$$

and

$$H_{2k}(\mu) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_k \\ J_k(\mu) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in M_{2k}, \quad (H_2(\mu) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \mu & 0 \end{bmatrix}). \tag{7}$$

Theorem 3.2 ([13]) *Let* $A \in M_n$ *be nonsingular.*
(a) A *is congruent to a direct sum, uniquely determined up to permutation of summands, of the form*

$$\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\rho} \Gamma_{r_k} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\sigma} H_{2s_j}(\gamma_j), \quad \gamma_j \in \mathbb{C},\ 0 \neq \gamma_j \neq (-1)^{s_j+1}, \tag{8}$$

in which each γ_j is determined up to replacement by γ_j^{-1}. If (1) is the Jordan Canonical Form of $A^{-T}A$, then the direct summands in (8) can be arranged so that the parameters ρ, σ, r_k, s_j, and γ_j in (8) are identical to the same parameters in (1). Two nonsingular matrices are congruent if and only if their cosquares are similar.
*(b) A is *congruent to a direct sum, uniquely determined up to permutation of summands, of the form*

$$\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\rho}\alpha_k\Delta_{n_k}\oplus\bigoplus_{j=1}^{\sigma}H_{2m_j}\left(\gamma_j\right), \quad \alpha_k,\gamma_j\in\mathbb{C},\, |\alpha_k|=1,\, 0<|\gamma_j|<1, \tag{9}$$

If (3) is the Jordan Canonical Form of $A^{-*}A$, then the direct summands in (9) can be arranged so that the parameters r_k, s_j, and γ_j in (9) are identical to the same parameters in (3), and the parameters α_k in (9) and β_k in (3) satisfy $\alpha_k^2=\beta_k$ for each $k=1,\ldots,r$.

Among many applications of the canonical form (9), it follows that any complex square matrix is *congruent to its transpose, and the *congruence can be achieved via a coninvolutory matrix. This conclusion is actually valid for any square matrix over any field of characteristic not two with an involution (possibly the identity involution). [11]

If A is nonsingular and U is unitary, then

$$\left(UAU^T\right)^{-T}\left(UAU^T\right)=\bar{U}\left(A^{-T}A\right)\bar{U}^*$$

and

$$\left(UAU^*\right)^{-*}\left(UAU^*\right)=U\left(A^{-*}A\right)U^*,$$

so a unitary congruence (respectively, a unitary *congruence) of a nonsingular matrix corresponds to a unitary similarity of its cosquare (respectively, *cosquare), both via the same unitary matrix. If the cosquare or *cosquare of $A\in M_n$ is diagonalizable by unitary similarity, what can be said about a canonical form for A under unitary congruence or unitary *congruence?

4 Normal matrices, intertwining, and zero blocks

Intertwining identities involving normal matrices lead to characterizations and canonical forms for unitary congruences.

Lemma 4.1 *Let $A,L,P\in M_n$ and assume that L and P are normal. Then*

(a) $AL=PA$ if and only if $AL^*=P^*A$.

(b) If L and P are nonsingular, then $AL=PA$ if and only if $AL^{-*}=P^{-*}A$.

Proof. Let $L=U\Lambda U^*$ and $P=V\Pi V^*$ for some unitary U,V and diagonal Λ,Π. The intertwining condition $AL=PA$ implies that $Ag(L)=g(P)A$ for any polynomial $g(t)$.

(a) Let $g(t)$ be any polynomial such that $g(\Lambda) = \bar{\Lambda}$ and $g(\Pi) = \bar{\Pi}$, that is, $g(t)$ interpolates the function $z \to \bar{z}$ on the spectra of L and P. Then

$$AL^* = Ag(L) = g(P)A = P^*A.$$

(b) Use the same argument, but let $g(t)$ interpolate the function $z \to \bar{z}^{-1}$ on the spectra of L and P. ■

The following lemma reveals fundamental patterns in the zero blocks of a partitioned matrix that is normal, conjugate normal, squared normal, or congruence normal.

Lemma 4.2 *Let $A \in M_n$ be given.*

(a) Suppose

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ 0 & A_{22} \end{bmatrix},$$

in which A_{11} and A_{22} are square. If A is normal or conjugate normal, then

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}.$$

If A is normal, then A_{11} and A_{22} are normal; if A is conjugate normal, then A_{11} and A_{22} are conjugate normal.

(b) Suppose

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & 0 \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0_k \end{bmatrix}, \tag{10}$$

in which A_{11} and A_{22} are square, and both $[A_{11} \;\; A_{12}]$ and A_{23} have full row rank. If A is squared normal or congruence normal, then

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0_k \end{bmatrix}$$

and A_{11} is nonsingular. If A is squared normal, then A_{11} is squared normal; if A is congruence normal, then A_{11} is congruence normal.

Proof. (a) If A is normal, then

$$A^*A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}^*A_{11} & \bigstar \\ \bigstar & \bigstar \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}A_{11}^* + A_{12}A_{12}^* & \bigstar \\ \bigstar & \bigstar \end{bmatrix} = AA^*.$$

We have $A_{11}^*A_{11} = A_{11}A_{11}^* + A_{12}A_{12}^*$, so $\operatorname{tr}(A_{11}^*A_{11}) = \operatorname{tr}(A_{11}A_{11}^*) = \operatorname{tr}(A_{11}A_{11}^*) + \operatorname{tr}(A_{12}A_{12}^*)$. Then $\operatorname{tr}(A_{12}A_{12}^*) = \|A_{12}^*\|_F^2 = 0$, so $A_{12} = 0$ and $A_{11}^*A_{11} = A_{11}A_{11}^*$. If A is conjugate normal, then

$$\overline{A^*A} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{A_{11}^*A_{11}} & \bigstar \\ \bigstar & \bigstar \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}A_{11}^* + A_{12}A_{12}^* & \bigstar \\ \bigstar & \bigstar \end{bmatrix} = AA^*.$$

We have $\operatorname{tr}\left(\overline{A_{11}^{*}A_{11}}\right) = \operatorname{tr}(A_{11}A_{11}^{*}) = \operatorname{tr}(A_{11}A_{11}^{*}) + \operatorname{tr}(A_{12}A_{12}^{*})$, so $\operatorname{tr}(A_{12}A_{12}^{*}) = \|A_{12}^{*}\|_F^2 = 0$. Then $A_{12} = 0$ and $\overline{A_{11}^{*}A_{11}} = A_{11}A_{11}^{*}$.

(b) Compute

$$A^2 = \begin{bmatrix} \bigstar & \bigstar & A_{12}A_{23} \\ \bigstar & \bigstar & A_{22}A_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0_k \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \bar{A}A = \begin{bmatrix} \bigstar & \bigstar & \overline{A_{12}}A_{23} \\ \bigstar & \bigstar & \overline{A_{22}}A_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0_k \end{bmatrix}. \tag{11}$$

If A is squared normal (or congruence normal), then (a) ensures that both $A_{12}A_{23}$ and $A_{22}A_{23}$ (or both $\overline{A_{12}}A_{23}$ and $\overline{A_{22}}A_{23}$) are zero blocks; since A_{23} has full row rank, it follows that both A_{12} and A_{22} are zero blocks and hence

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ A_{21} & 0 & A_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0_k \end{bmatrix},$$

in which A_{11} is nonsingular. Now compute

$$A^2 = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}^2 & 0 \\ A_{21}A_{11} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \oplus 0_k \text{ and } \bar{A}A = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{A_{11}}A_{11} & 0 \\ \overline{A_{21}}A_{11} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \oplus 0_k.$$

If A is squared normal (or if A is congruence normal), then (a) ensures that $A_{21}A_{11} = 0$ (or that $\overline{A_{21}}A_{11} = 0$); since A_{11} is nonsingular, it follows that $A_{21} = 0$ and A_{11} is squared normal (or congruence normal). ■

A matrix $A \in M_n$ is said to be *range Hermitian* if A and A^* have the same range. If $\operatorname{rank} A = r$ and there is a unitary U and a nonsingular $C \in M_r$ such that $U^*AU = C \oplus 0_{n-r}$, then A is range Hermitian; the converse assertion follows from Theorem 6.1(b). For example, every normal matrix is range Hermitian. The following lemma shows that, for a range Hermitian matrix and a normal matrix, commutativity follows from a generally weaker condition.

Lemma 4.3 *Let $A, B \in M_n$. Suppose A is range Hermitian and B is normal. Then $ABA = A^2B$ if and only if $AB = BA$.*

Proof. If $AB = BA$, then $A(BA) = A(AB) = A^2B$. Conversely, suppose $ABA = A^2B$. Let $A = U(C \oplus 0_{n-r})U^*$, in which $U \in M_n$ is unitary and $C \in M_r$ is nonsingular. Partition the normal matrix $U^*BU = [B_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^2$ conformally to $C \oplus 0_{n-r}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} U^*(ABA)U &= (U^*AU)(U^*BU)(U^*AU) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} C & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} CB_{11}C & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} U^*(A^2B)U &= (U^*AU)^2(U^*BU) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} C^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C^2B_{11} & C^2B_{12} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

so $C^2 B_{12} = 0$, which implies that $B_{12} = 0$. Lemma 4.2(a) ensures that $B_{21} = 0$ as well, so $U^* B U = B_{11} \oplus B_{22}$. Moreover, $C B_{11} C = C^2 B_{11}$, so $B_{11} C = C B_{11}$. We conclude that $U^* A U$ commutes with $U^* B U$, and hence A commutes with B. ■

5 Normal cosquares and *cosquares

A nonsingular matrix A whose cosquare is normal (respectively, whose *cosquare is normal) has a simple canonical form under unitary congruence (respectively, under unitary *congruence). Moreover, normality of the cosquare or *cosquare of A is equivalent to simple properties of A itself that are the key—via regularization—to obtaining canonical forms under unitary congruence or unitary *congruence even when A is singular.

5.1 Normal cosquares

If $A \in M_n$ is nonsingular and its cosquare $\mathfrak{A}$ is normal, then $\mathfrak{A}$ is unitarily diagonalizable and we may assume that its Jordan Canonical Form has the form (2). For our analysis it is convenient to separate the eigenvalue pairs $\{-1, -1\}$ of $\mathfrak{A}$ from the reciprocal pairs of its other eigenvalues in (2). Any unitary similarity that puts $\mathfrak{A}$ in the diagonal form (2) induces a unitary congruence of A that puts it into a special block diagonal form.

Theorem 5.1 *Let $A \in M_n$ be nonsingular and suppose that its cosquare $\mathfrak{A} = A^{-T} A$ is normal. Let $\mu_1, \mu_1^{-1}, \ldots, \mu_q, \mu_q^{-1}$ be the distinct eigenvalues of $\mathfrak{A}$ with $-1 \neq \mu_j \neq 1$ for each $j = 1, \ldots, q$, and let $n_1, n_1, \ldots, n_q, n_q$ be their respective multiplicities. Let n_+ and $2n_-$ be the multiplicities of $+1$ and -1, respectively, as eigenvalues of $\mathfrak{A}$. Let*

$$\Lambda = I_{n_+} \oplus \left(-I_{2n_-}\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{q} \begin{bmatrix} \mu_j I_{n_j} & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_j^{-1} I_{n_j} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mu_j \neq 0, \ -1 \neq \mu_j \neq 1, \quad (12)$$

let $U \in M_n$ be any unitary matrix such that $\mathfrak{A} = U \Lambda U^*$, and let $\mathcal{A} = U^T A U$. Then

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_+ \oplus \mathcal{A}_- \oplus \mathcal{A}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{A}_q, \quad (13)$$

in which $\mathcal{A}_+ \in M_{n_+}$ is symmetric, $\mathcal{A}_- \in M_{2n_-}$ is skew symmetric, and each $\mathcal{A}_j \in M_{2n_j}$ has the form

$$\mathcal{A}_j = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{n_j} & Y_j \\ \mu_j Y_j^T & 0_{n_j} \end{bmatrix}, \quad Y_j \in M_{n_j} \text{ is nonsingular.} \quad (14)$$

The unitary congruence class of each of the $q + 2$ blocks in (13) is uniquely determined.

Proof. The presentation (12) of the Jordan Canonical Form of $\mathfrak{A}$ differs from that in (2) only in the separate identification of the eigenvalue pairs $\{-1,-1\}$. We have $A = A^T\mathfrak{A} = A^T U\Lambda U^*$, which implies that

$$\mathcal{A} = U^T A U = U^T A^T U \Lambda = \mathcal{A}^T \Lambda$$

and hence

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^T\Lambda = \left(\mathcal{A}^T\Lambda\right)^T \Lambda = \Lambda\mathcal{A}\Lambda,$$

that is,

$$\Lambda^{-1}\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}\Lambda. \tag{15}$$

Partition $\mathcal{A} = [\mathcal{A}_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^{q+2}$ conformally to Λ. The $q+2$ diagonal blocks of Λ have mutually distinct spectra; the spectra of corresponding diagonal blocks of Λ and Λ^{-1} are the same. The identity (15) and Sylvester's Theorem on Linear Matrix Equations [8, Section 2.4, Problems 9 and 13] ensure that $\mathcal{A}$ is block diagonal and conformal to Λ, that is,

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{11} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{A}_{q+2,q+2}$$

is block diagonal. Moreover, the identity $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^T\Lambda$ ensures that (a) $\mathcal{A}_{11} = \mathcal{A}_{11}^T$, so $\mathcal{A}_+ := \mathcal{A}_{11}$ is symmetric; (b) $\mathcal{A}_{22} = -\mathcal{A}_{22}^T$, so $\mathcal{A}_- := \mathcal{A}_{22}$ is skew symmetric; and (c) for each $i = 3, \ldots, q+2$ the nonsingular block $\mathcal{A}_{jj}$ has the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} X & Y \\ Z & W \end{bmatrix}, \quad X, Y, Z, W \in M_{n_j}$$

and satisfies an identity of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} X & Y \\ Z & W \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X & Y \\ Z & W \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} \mu I & 0 \\ 0 & \mu^{-1} I \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu X^T & \mu^{-1} Z^T \\ \mu Y^T & \mu^{-1} W^T \end{bmatrix}$$

in which $\mu^2 \neq 1$. But $X = \mu X^T = \mu^2 X$ and $W = \mu^{-1} W^T = \mu^{-2} W$, so $X = W = 0$. Moreover, $Z = \mu Y^T$, so $\mathcal{A}_{jj}$ has the form (14).

What can we say if $\mathfrak{A}$ can be put into the form (12) via unitary similarity with a different unitary matrix V? If $\mathfrak{A} = U\Lambda U^* = V\Lambda V^*$ and both U and V are unitary, then $\Lambda\,(U^*V) = (U^*V)\,\Lambda$, so another application of Sylvester's Theorem ensures that the unitary matrix U^*V is block diagonal and conformal to Λ. Thus, in the respective presentations (13) associated with U and V, corresponding diagonal blocks are unitarily congruent. ■

Theorem 5.2 *Let $A \in M_n$. The following are equivalent:*
(a) $\bar{A}A$ is normal.
(b) $A\left(\overline{AA^*}\right) = \left(\overline{A^*A}\right)A$, that is, $A\bar{A}A^T = A^T\bar{A}A$.

If A is nonsingular, then (a) and (b) are equivalent to
(c) $A^{-T}A$ is normal.

Proof. (a) ⇒ (b): Consider the identity

$$A\left(\bar{A}A\right) = A\bar{A}A = \left(A\bar{A}\right)A.$$

Since $\bar{A}A$ is normal, $A\bar{A} = \overline{(\bar{A}A)}$ is normal and Lemma 4.1(a) ensures that

$$A\left(\bar{A}A\right)^* = AA^*A^T = A^TA^*A = \left(A\bar{A}\right)^*A.$$

Taking the transpose of the middle identity, and using Hermicity of AA^* and A^*A, gives

$$A\left(AA^*\right)^T = A\left(\overline{AA^*}\right) = \left(\overline{A^*A}\right)A = \left(A^*A\right)^TA.$$

(b) ⇒ (a): We use (b) in the form $AA^*A^T = A^TA^*A$ to compute

$$\left(\bar{A}A\right)\left(\bar{A}A\right)^* = \bar{A}\left(AA^*A^T\right) = \bar{A}\left(A^TA^*A\right),$$

so $\bar{A}A^TA^*A$ is Hermitian:

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\bar{A}A\right)\left(\bar{A}A\right)^* &= \bar{A}A^TA^*A = \left(\bar{A}A^TA^*A\right)^* \\ &= A^*\left(A\bar{A}A^T\right) = A^*\left(A^T\bar{A}A\right) = \left(\bar{A}A\right)^*\left(\bar{A}A\right).\end{aligned}$$

(c) ⇒ (b): Consider the identity

$$A(A^{-T}A) = AA^{-T}A = (A^{-T}A)^{-T}A.$$

Since $A^{-T}A$ is normal, Lemma 4.1(b) ensures that

$$A(A^{-T}A)^{-*} = \left((A^{-T}A)^{-T}\right)^{-*}A = \overline{(A^{-T}A)}A,$$

so $A\bar{A}A^{-*} = A^{-*}\bar{A}A$, from which it follows that $A^*A\bar{A} = \bar{A}AA^*$, which is the conjugate of (b).

(b) ⇒ (c): Since A is nonsingular, the identity (b) is equivalent to

$$A^T\bar{A}A = \left(A^TA^*A\right)^T = \left(AA^*A^T\right)^T = A\bar{A}A^T,$$

which in turn is equivalent to

$$AA^{-T}\bar{A}^{-1} = \bar{A}^{-1}A^{-T}A.$$

Now compute

$$\begin{aligned}(A^{-T}A)(A^{-T}A)^* &= A^{-T}\left(AA^*\right)\bar{A}^{-1} = A^{-T}\left(A^TA^*AA^{-T}\right)\bar{A}^{-1} \\ &= A^*\left(AA^{-T}\bar{A}^{-1}\right) = A^*\left(\bar{A}^{-1}A^{-T}A\right) = (A^{-T}A)^*(A^{-T}A).\end{aligned}$$

■

Theorem 5.3 *Let $A \in M_n$ be nonsingular. If $\bar{A}A$ is normal, then A is unitarily congruent to a direct sum of blocks, each of which is*

$$[\sigma] \text{ or } \tau \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \mu & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \sigma > 0,\ \tau > 0,\ \mu \in \mathbb{C},\ 0 \neq \mu \neq 1. \tag{16}$$

This direct sum is uniquely determined by A up to permutation of its blocks and replacement of any μ by μ^{-1}. Conversely, if A is unitarily congruent to a direct sum of blocks of the two types in (16), then $\bar{A}A$ is normal.

Proof. Normality of $\bar{A}A$ implies normality of the cosquare $A^{-T}A$. Theorem 5.1 ensures that A is unitarily congruent to a direct sum of the form (13), and the unitary congruence class of each summand is uniquely determined by A. It suffices to consider the three types of blocks that occur in (13): (a) a symmetric block $\mathcal{A}_+$, (b) a skew-symmetric block $\mathcal{A}_-$, and (c) a block of the form (14).

(a) The special singular value decomposition available for a nonsingular symmetric matrix [8, Corollary 4.4.4] ensures that there is a unitary V and a positive diagonal matrix $\Sigma = \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{n_+})$ such that $\mathcal{A}_+ = V\Sigma V^T$. The singular values σ_i of $\mathcal{A}_+$ are the source of all of the 1-by-1 blocks in (16). They are unitary congruence invariants of $\mathcal{A}_+$, so they are uniquely determined by A.

(b) The special singular value decomposition available for a nonsingular skew-symmetric matrix [8, Problem 26, Section 4.4] ensures that there is a unitary V and a nonsingular block diagonal matrix

$$\Sigma = \tau_1 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \oplus \cdots \oplus \tau_{n_-} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{17}$$

such that $\mathcal{A}_- = V\Sigma V^T$. These blocks are the source of all of the 2-by-2 blocks in (16) in which $\mu = -1$. The parameters $\tau_1, \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_{n_-}, \tau_{n_-}$ are the singular values of $\mathcal{A}_-$, which are unitary congruence invariants of $\mathcal{A}_-$, so they are uniquely determined by A.

(c) Consider a block of the form

$$\mathcal{A}_j = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & Y_j \\ \mu_j Y_j^T & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

in which $Y_j \in M_{n_j}$ is nonsingular. The singular value decomposition [8, Theorem 7.3.5] ensures that there are unitary $V_j, W_j \in M_{n_j}$ and a positive diagonal matrix $\Sigma_j = \operatorname{diag}(\tau_1^{(j)}, \ldots, \tau_{n_j}^{(j)})$ such that $Y_j = V_j \Sigma_j W_j^*$. Then

$$\mathcal{A}_j = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & V_j \Sigma_j W_j^* \\ \mu_j \bar{W}_j \Sigma_j V_j^T & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} V_j & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{W}_j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Sigma_j \\ \mu_j \Sigma_j & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_j & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{W}_j \end{bmatrix}^T$$

is unitarily congruent to

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Sigma_j \\ \mu_j \Sigma_j & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

which is unitarily congruent (permutation similar) to

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n_j} \tau_i^{(j)} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \mu_j & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tau_i^{(j)} > 0.$$

These blocks contribute n_j 2-by-2 blocks to (16), all with $\mu = \mu_j$. Given $\mu_j \neq 0$, the parameters $\tau_1^{(j)}, \ldots, \tau_{n_j}^{(j)}$ are determined by the eigenvalues of $\overline{\mathcal{A}_j}\mathcal{A}_j$, which are invariant under unitary congruence of $\mathcal{A}_j$.

Conversely, if A is unitarily congruent to a direct sum of blocks of the form (16), then $\bar{A}A$ is unitarily similar to a direct sum of blocks, each of which is

$$[\sigma^2] \text{ or } \tau^2 \mu I_2, \quad 0 \neq \mu \neq 1,$$

so $\bar{A}A$ is normal. ■

5.2 Normal *cosquares

If $A \in M_n$ is nonsingular and its *cosquare $\mathfrak{A}$ is normal, we can deduce a unitary *congruence canonical form for A with an argument largely parallel to that in the preceding section, starting with the Jordan Canonical Form (4). We find that any unitary similarity that diagonalizes $\mathfrak{A}$ induces a unitary *congruence of A that puts it into a special block diagonal form.

Theorem 5.4 *Let $A \in M_n$ be nonsingular and suppose that its *cosquare $\mathfrak{A} = A^{-*}A$ is normal. Let $\mu_1, \bar{\mu}_1^{-1}, \ldots, \mu_q, \bar{\mu}_q^{-1}$ be the distinct eigenvalues of $\mathfrak{A}$ with $0 < |\mu_j| < 1$ for each $j = 1, \ldots, q$, and let $n_1, n_1, \ldots, n_q, n_q$ be their respective multiplicities. Let $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_p$ be the distinct unimodular eigenvalues of $\mathfrak{A}$, with respective multiplicities $m_1, \ldots, m_p$, and choose any unimodular parameters $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_p$ such that $\alpha_k^2 = \lambda_k$ for each $k = 1, \ldots, p$. Let*

$$\Lambda = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{p} \lambda_k I_{m_k} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{q} \begin{bmatrix} \mu_j I_{n_j} & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{\mu_j}^{-1} I_{n_j} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \lambda_k, \mu_j \in \mathbb{C}, \ |\lambda_k| = 1, \ 0 < |\mu_j| < 1, \tag{18}$$

let $U \in M_n$ be any unitary matrix such that $\mathfrak{A} = U\Lambda U^*$, and let $\mathcal{A} = U^*AU$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is block diagonal and has the form

$$\mathcal{A} = \alpha_1 \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \alpha_p \mathcal{H}_p \oplus \mathcal{A}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{A}_q, \tag{19}$$

in which $\mathcal{H}_k \in M_{m_k}$ is Hermitian for each $k = 1, \ldots, p$, and each $\mathcal{A}_j \in M_{2n_j}$ has the form

$$\mathcal{A}_j = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & Y_j \\ \mu_j Y_j^* & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad Y_j \in M_{n_j} \text{ is nonsingular.} \tag{20}$$

*For a given ordering of the blocks in (18) the unitary *congruence class of each of the $p + q$ blocks in (19) is uniquely determined.*

Proof. We have $A = A^*\mathfrak{A} = A^*U\Lambda U^*$, which implies that

$$\mathcal{A} = U^*AU = U^*A^*U\Lambda = \mathcal{A}^*\Lambda$$

and hence

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^*\Lambda = \left(\mathcal{A}^*\Lambda\right)^*\Lambda = \bar{\Lambda}\mathcal{A}\Lambda,$$

that is,

$$\bar{\Lambda}^{-1}\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}\Lambda. \tag{21}$$

Partition $\mathcal{A} = [\mathcal{A}_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^{p+q}$ conformally to Λ. The $p+q$ diagonal blocks of Λ have mutually distinct spectra; the spectra of corresponding blocks of Λ and $\bar{\Lambda}^{-1}$ are the same. The identity (21) and Sylvester's Theorem on Linear Matrix Equations ensure that $\mathcal{A}$ is block diagonal and conformal to Λ, that is,

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{11} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{A}_{pp} \oplus \mathcal{A}_{p+1,p+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{A}_{p+q,p+q}$$

is block diagonal. Moreover, the identity $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^*\Lambda$ ensures that $\mathcal{A}_{kk} = \lambda_k\mathcal{A}_{kk}^* = \alpha_k^2\mathcal{A}_{kk}^*$, so if we define $\mathcal{H}_k := \overline{\alpha_k}\mathcal{A}_{kk}$, then

$$\mathcal{H}_k = \overline{\alpha_k}\mathcal{A}_{kk} = \overline{\alpha_k}\alpha_k^2\mathcal{A}_{kk}^* = \alpha_k\mathcal{A}_{kk}^* = \left(\overline{\alpha_k}\mathcal{A}_{kk}\right)^* = \mathcal{H}_k^*,$$

so $\mathcal{H}_k$ is Hermitian. For each $j = p+1, \ldots, p+q$ the block $\mathcal{A}_{jj}$ has the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} X & Y \\ Z & W \end{bmatrix}$$

and satisfies an identity of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} X & Y \\ Z & W \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X & Y \\ Z & W \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} \mu I & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\mu}^{-1}I \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu X^* & \bar{\mu}^{-1}Z^* \\ \mu Y^* & \bar{\mu}^{-1}W^* \end{bmatrix}$$

in which $|\mu|^2 > 1$. But $X = \mu X^* = |\mu|^2 X$ and $W = \overline{\mu^{-1}}W^* = |\mu|^{-2} W$, so $X = W = 0$. Moreover, $Z = \mu Y^*$, so $\mathcal{A}_{jj}$ has the form (20).

If $\mathfrak{A} = U\Lambda U^* = V\Lambda V^*$ and both U and V are unitary, then $\Lambda\left(U^*V\right) = \left(U^*V\right)\Lambda$, so the unitary matrix U^*V is block diagonal and conformal to Λ. Thus, in the presentations (19) corresponding to U and to V, corresponding diagonal blocks are unitarily *congruent. ■

Theorem 5.5 *Let $A \in M_n$. The following are equivalent:*
(a) A^2 is normal.
(b) $A\left(AA^*\right) = \left(A^*A\right)A$, that is, $A^2A^* = A^*A^2$.

If A is nonsingular, then (a) and (b) are equivalent to
(c) $A^{-*}A$ is normal.

Proof. (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b): Consider the identity

$$\left(A^2\right)A = A\left(A^2\right).$$

Since A^2 is normal, Lemma 4.1(a) ensures that

$$\left(A^2\right)^* A = A\left(A^2\right)^*,$$

and hence

$$A^2A^* = A\left(AA^*\right) = \left(A^*A\right)A = A^*A^2.$$

(b) ⇒ (a): Assuming (b), we have

$$\begin{aligned} A^2\left(A^2\right)^* &= \left(A^2A^*\right)A^* = \left(A^*A^2\right)A^* = A^*\left(A^2A^*\right) \\ &= A^*\left(A^*A^2\right) = \left(A^*\right)^2A^2 = \left(A^2\right)^*A^2. \end{aligned}$$

(c) ⇒ (b): The identity $A = (A^{-*}A)^*A(A^{-*}A)$ is equivalent to

$$(A^{-*}A)^{-*}A = A(A^{-*}A). \tag{22}$$

Since AA^{-*} is normal, Lemma 4.1(b) ensures that

$$(A^{-*}A)A = \left((A^{-*}A)^{-*}\right)^{-*}A = A(A^{-*}A)^{-*},$$

which implies that $A^{-*}A^2 = A^2A^{-*}$ and $A^2A^* = A^*A^2$.

(b) ⇒ (c): Assuming (b), we have $AAA^* = A^*AA$, which is equivalent to $AA^*A^* = A^*A^*A$ and (since A is nonsingular) to

$$A^{-*}AA^* = A^*AA^{-*},$$

The inverse of this identity is

$$A^{-*}A^{-1}A^* = A^*A^{-1}A^{-*}.$$

Now compute

$$(A^{-*}A)(A^{-*}A)^* = \left(A^{-*}AA^*\right)A^{-1} = \left(A^*AA^{-*}\right)A^{-1},$$

which is Hermitian, so

$$\begin{aligned} (A^{-*}A)(A^{-*}A)^* &= \left(A^*AA^{-*}A^{-1}\right)^* = \left(A^{-*}A^{-1}A^*\right)A \\ &= \left(A^*A^{-1}A^{-*}\right)A = (A^{-*}A)^*(A^{-*}A). \end{aligned}$$

■

Theorem 5.6 *Let $A \in M_n$ be nonsingular. If A^2 is normal, then A is unitarily *congruent to a direct sum of blocks, each of which is*

$$[\lambda] \text{ or } \tau\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \mu & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C},\ \lambda \neq 0,\ \tau > 0,\ 0 < |\mu| < 1. \tag{23}$$

*This direct sum is uniquely determined by A, up to permutation of its blocks. Conversely, if A is unitarily *congruent to a direct sum of blocks of the form (23), then A^2 is normal.*

Proof. Normality of A^2 implies normality of its *cosquare $A^{-*}A$, so A is unitarily *congruent to a direct sum of the form (19), and the unitary *congruence class of each summand is uniquely determined by A. It suffices to consider the two types of blocks that occur in (19): (a) a unimodular scalar multiple of a Hermitian matrix $\mathcal{H}_k$, and (b) a block of the form (20).

(a) The spectral theorem ensures that there is a unitary $V_k \in M_{m_k}$ and a real nonsingular diagonal $L_k \in M_{m_k}$ such that $\mathcal{H}_k = V_k L_k V_k^*$. The diagonal entries of $\alpha_k L_k$ (that is, the eigenvalues of $\alpha_k \mathcal{H}_k = \mathcal{A}_k$) are unitary *congruence invariants of $\mathcal{A}_k$, so they are uniquely determined by A; they all lie on the line $\{t\alpha_k : -\infty < t < \infty\}$. The diagonal entries of $\alpha_1 L_1, \ldots, \alpha_p L_p$ are the source of all the 1-by-1 blocks in (23).

(b) Consider a block of the form

$$\mathcal{A}_j = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & Y_j \\ \mu_j Y_j^* & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad 0 < |\mu_j| < 1,$$

in which $Y_j \in M_{n_j}$ is nonsingular. The singular value decomposition ensures that there are unitary $V_j, W_j \in M_{n_j}$ and a positive diagonal matrix $\Sigma_j = \operatorname{diag}(\tau_1^{(j)}, \ldots, \tau_{n_j}^{(j)})$ such that $Y_j = V_j \Sigma_j W_j^*$. Then

$$\mathcal{A}_j = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & V_j \Sigma_j W_j^* \\ \mu_j W_j \Sigma_j V_j^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} V_j & 0 \\ 0 & W_j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Sigma_j \\ \mu_j \Sigma_j & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_j & 0 \\ 0 & W_j \end{bmatrix}^*$$

is unitarily *congruent to

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Sigma_j \\ \mu_j \Sigma_j & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

which is unitarily *congruent (permutation similar) to

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n_j} \tau_i^{(j)} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \mu_j & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tau_i^{(j)} > 0.$$

These blocks contribute n_j 2-by-2 blocks to (23), all with $\mu = \mu_j$. Given $\mu_j \neq 0$, the parameters $\tau_1^{(j)}, \ldots, \tau_{n_j}^{(j)}$ are determined by the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{A}_j^2$, which are invariant under unitary *congruence of $\mathcal{A}_j$.

Conversely, if A is unitarily *congruent to a direct sum of blocks of the two types in (23), then A^2 is normal since it is unitarily *congruent to a direct sum of diagonal blocks of the two types $[\lambda^2]$ and $\tau^2 \mu I_2$. ■

6 Unitary regularization

The following theorem describes a reduced form that can be achieved for any singular nonzero matrix under both unitary congruence and unitary *congruence. It is the key to separating a singular nonzero matrix into a canonical direct sum of its regular and singular parts under unitary congruence or unitary *congruence.

Theorem 6.1 *Let $A \in M_n$ be singular and nonzero, let m_1 be the nullity of A, let the columns of V_1 be any orthonormal basis for the range of A, let the columns of V_2 be any orthonormal basis for the null space of A^*, and form the unitary matrix $V = [V_1\ V_2]$. Then*

(a) A is unitarily congruent to a reduced form

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|c} A' & B & 0 \\ C & D & [\Sigma\ 0] \\ \hline \multicolumn{2}{c|}{0} & 0_{m_1} \end{array}\right]\begin{array}{l} \\ \}m_2 \\ \}m_1 \end{array} \tag{24}$$

in which $m_2 = m_1 - \dim\left(N(A) \cap N(A^T)\right)$; if $m_2 > 0$ then $D \in M_{m_2}$, $\Sigma = \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{m_2})$, and all $\sigma_i > 0$; if $m_1 + m_2 < n$, then A' is square and $[A'\ B]$ has linearly independent rows; the integers m_1, m_2 and the unitary congruence class of the block

$$\begin{bmatrix} A' & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \tag{25}$$

are uniquely determined by A. The parameters $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{m_2}$ are the positive singular values of $V_1^* A \overline{V_2}$, so they are also uniquely determined by A.

*(b) A is unitarily *congruent to a reduced form (24) in which $m_2 = m_1 - \dim\left(N(A) \cap N(A^*)\right)$; if $m_2 > 0$ then $D \in M_{m_2}$, $\Sigma = \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{m_2})$, and all $\sigma_i > 0$; if $m_1 + m_2 < n$, then A' is square and $[A'\ B]$ has linearly independent rows; the integers m_1, m_2 and the unitary *congruence class of the block (25) are uniquely determined by A. The parameters $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{m_2}$ are the positive singular values of $V_1^* A V_2$, so they are also uniquely determined by A.*

Proof. We have

$$V^*A = \begin{bmatrix} V_1^*A \\ V_2^*A \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} V_1^*A \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The next step depends on whether we want to perform a unitary congruence or a unitary *congruence.

(a) Let $N = V_1^* A \overline{V_2}$ and form the unitary congruence

$$V^*A\,(V^*)^T = \begin{bmatrix} V_1^*A \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \overline{V_1} & \overline{V_2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} V_1^*A\overline{V_1} & V_1^*A\overline{V_2} \\ 0 & 0_{m_1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} M & N \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now let $m_2 = \operatorname{rank} N$. If $m_2 = 0$ then $N = 0$ and the form (24) has been achieved with $A' = M$. If $m_2 > 0$, use the singular value decomposition to write $N = X\Sigma_2 Y^*$, in which X and Y are unitary,

$$\Sigma_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \Sigma & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \Sigma = \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{m_2}), \tag{26}$$

and the diagonal entries σ_i are the positive singular values of N. Let $Z = X^* \oplus Y^T$ and form the unitary congruence

$$Z\left(V^*A\bar{V}\right)Z^T = \begin{bmatrix} X^*M\bar{X} & X^*NY \\ 0 & 0_{m_1} \end{bmatrix} = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} A' & B & 0 \\ C & D & [\Sigma\ 0] \\ \hline \multicolumn{2}{c|}{0} & 0_{m_1} \end{array}\right]\begin{array}{l} \\ \}m_2 \\ \}m_1 \end{array}.$$

The block $X^* M \bar{X}$ has been partitioned so that $D \in M_{m_2}$. Finally, inspection of (24) shows that $\dim(N(A) \cap N(A^T)) = m_1 - m_2$.

Suppose that $R, \underline{R}, U \in M_n$, U is unitary, and $R = U\underline{R}U^T$, so R and $\underline{R}$ have the same parameters m_1 and m_2. Suppose

$$R = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} A' & B & 0 \\ C & D & [\Sigma\ 0] \\ \hline \multicolumn{2}{c|}{0} & 0_{m_1} \end{array}\right]\begin{matrix} \}m_2 \\ \}m_1 \end{matrix} \quad \text{and } \underline{R} = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} \underline{A'} & \underline{B} & 0 \\ \underline{C} & \underline{D} & [\underline{\Sigma}\ 0] \\ \hline \multicolumn{2}{c|}{0} & 0_{m_1} \end{array}\right]\begin{matrix} \}m_2 \\ \}m_1 \end{matrix} \ ,$$

partition $U = [U_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^2$ so that $U_{11} \in M_{n-m_1}$ and $U_{22} \in M_{m_1}$, and partition

$$\underline{R} = \begin{bmatrix} Z \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \}m_1$$

in which

$$Z = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{A'} & \underline{B} & 0 \\ \underline{C} & \underline{D} & [\underline{\Sigma}\ 0] \end{bmatrix}$$

has full row rank. Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} \bigstar \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = R\bar{U} = U\underline{R} = \begin{bmatrix} \bigstar \\ U_{21}Z \end{bmatrix},$$

so $U_{21} = 0$. Lemma 4.2(a) ensures that $U_{12} = 0$ as well, so $U = U_{11} \oplus U_{22}$ and both direct summands are unitary. Then

$$\begin{aligned} R &= \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A' & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ [\Sigma\ 0] \end{bmatrix} \\ 0 & 0_{m_1} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= U\underline{R}U^T = \begin{bmatrix} U_{11} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{A'} & \underline{B} \\ \underline{C} & \underline{D} \end{bmatrix} U_{11}^T & U_{11} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ [\underline{\Sigma}\ 0] \end{bmatrix} U_{22}^T \\ 0 & 0_{m_1} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and the uniqueness assertion follows.

(b) Let $N = V_1^* A V_2$ and form the unitary *congruence

$$V^* A \,(V^*)^* = \begin{bmatrix} V_1^* A \\ V_2^* A \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_1 & V_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} V_1^* A V_1 & V_1^* A V_2 \\ 0 & 0_{m_1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} M & N \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let $m_2 = \operatorname{rank} N$. If $m_2 = 0$ then $N = 0$ and the form (24) has been achieved with $A' = M$. If $m_2 > 0$, use the singular value decomposition to write $N = X \Sigma_2 Y^*$, in which X and Y are unitary, Σ_2 has the form (26), and the diagonal entries σ_i are the positive singular values of N. Let $Z = X^* \oplus Y^*$ and form the unitary *congruence

$$Z\,(V^* A V)\,Z^* = \begin{bmatrix} X^* M X & X^* N Y \\ 0 & 0_{m_1} \end{bmatrix} = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} A' & B & 0 \\ C & D & [\Sigma\ 0] \\ \hline \multicolumn{2}{c|}{0} & 0_{m_1} \end{array}\right]\begin{matrix} \}m_2 \\ \}m_1 \end{matrix} \ .$$

The block X^*MX has been partitioned so that $D \in M_{m_2}$. The uniqueness assertion follows from an argument parallel to the one employed in (a). ■

We are concerned here with only the simplest cases of unitary congruence and *congruence, and the preceding theorem suffices for our purpose; a general sparse form that can be achieved via unitary congruence and *congruence is given in [12, Theorem 6(d)].

Corollary 6.2 *Let $A \in M_n$ be singular and nonzero. Let m_1 be the nullity of A, let the columns of V_1 be an orthonormal basis for the range of A, let the columns of V_2 be an orthonormal basis for the null space of A^*, and form the unitary matrix $V = [V_1\ V_2]$.*

(a) Suppose A is congruence normal. Then it is unitarily congruent to a direct sum of the form

$$A' \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m_2} \sigma_i \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \oplus 0_{m_1-m_2}, \quad \sigma_i > 0, \tag{27}$$

in which A' is either absent or it is nonsingular and congruence normal; $m_2 = \operatorname{rank} A - \operatorname{rank} \bar{A}A = \operatorname{rank} V_1^* A\overline{V_2}$; and the parameters $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{m_2}$ are the positive singular values of $V_1^* A\overline{V_2}$. The unitary congruence class of A', m_2, $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{m_2}$, and m_1 are uniquely determined by A.

*(b) Suppose A is squared normal. Then it is unitarily *congruent to a direct sum of the form (27), in which A' is either absent or it is nonsingular and squared normal; $m_2 = \operatorname{rank} A - \operatorname{rank} A^2 = \operatorname{rank} V_1^* A V_2$; and the parameters $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{m_2}$ are the positive singular values of $V_1^* A V_2$. The unitary *congruence class of A', m_2, $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{m_2}$, and m_1 are uniquely determined by A.*

Proof. Combine Lemma 4.2(b) with Theorem 6.1. ■

The matrix A' in (27) is the *regular part* of A under unitary congruence (respectively, unitary *congruence); the direct sum of the singular summands in (27) is the *singular part* of A under unitary congruence (respectively, unitary *congruence).

7 Canonical forms

We have now completed all the steps required to establish canonical forms for a conjugate normal matrix A under unitary congruence, and a squared normal matrix A under unitary *congruence: First apply the unitary regularization described in Corollary 6.2 to obtain the regular and singular parts of A, then use Theorems 5.3 and 5.6 to identify the canonical form of the regular part.

Theorem 7.1 *Let $A \in M_n$. If $\bar{A}A$ is normal, then A is unitarily congruent to a direct sum of blocks, each of which has the form*

$$[\sigma] \ \text{or}\ \tau \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \mu & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ \sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{R},\ \sigma \geq 0,\ \tau > 0,\ \mu \in \mathbb{C},\ \text{and}\ \mu \neq 1. \tag{28}$$

This direct sum is uniquely determined by A *up to permutation of its blocks and replacement of any parameter* μ *by* μ^{-1}*. Conversely, if* A *is unitarily congruent to a direct sum of blocks of the form (28), then* $\bar{A}A$ *is normal.*

Proof. The unitary congruence regularization (27) reveals two types of singular blocks

$$[0] \text{ and } \gamma \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \gamma > 0, \tag{29}$$

while Theorem 5.3 reveals two types of nonsingular blocks

$$[\sigma] \text{ and } \tau \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \mu & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \sigma > 0, \tau > 0, \text{ and } 0 \neq \mu \neq 1. \tag{30}$$

■

Theorem 7.2 *Let* $A \in M_n$*. If* A^2 *is normal, then* A *is unitarily *congruent to a direct sum of blocks, each of which is*

$$[\lambda] \text{ or } \tau \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \mu & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}, \tau > 0, \text{ and } |\mu| < 1. \tag{31}$$

This direct sum is uniquely determined by A*, up to permutation of its blocks. Conversely, if* A *is unitarily *congruent to a direct sum of blocks of the form (31), then* A^2 *is normal.*

Proof. The unitary *congruence regularization (24) reveals the singular blocks and Theorem 5.6 reveals the nonsingular blocks. ■

For some applications, it can be convenient to know that the 2-by-2 blocks in (31) may be replaced by canonical upper triangular blocks. The set

$$\mathcal{D}_+ := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z > 0\} \cup \{it : t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } t \geq 0\} \tag{32}$$

has the useful property that every complex number has a unique square root in $\mathcal{D}_+$. We use the following criterion of Pearcy:

Lemma 7.3 ([20]) *Let* $X, Y \in M_2$*. Then* X *and* Y *are unitarily *congruent if and only if* $\operatorname{tr} X = \operatorname{tr} Y$, $\operatorname{tr} X^2 = \operatorname{tr} Y^2$, *and* $\operatorname{tr} X^*X = \operatorname{tr} Y^*Y$.

Theorem 7.4 *Let* $A \in M_n$*. If* A^2 *is normal, then* A *is unitarily *congruent to a direct sum of blocks, each of which is*

$$[\lambda] \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} \nu & r \\ 0 & -\nu \end{bmatrix}, \lambda, \nu \in \mathbb{C}, r \in \mathbb{R}, r > 0, \text{ and } \nu \in \mathcal{D}_+. \tag{33}$$

This direct sum is uniquely determined by A *up to permutation of its blocks. Conversely, if* A *is unitarily *congruent to a direct sum of blocks of the form (33), then* A^2 *is normal.*

Proof. It suffices to show that if $\tau > 0$ and $|\mu| < 1$, and if we define

$$\nu := \tau\sqrt{\mu} \in \mathcal{D}_+ \tag{34}$$

and

$$r := \tau\left(1 - |\mu|\right), \tag{35}$$

then

$$C_1 := \begin{bmatrix} \nu & r \\ 0 & -\nu \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } C_2 := \tau \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \mu & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

are unitarily *congruent. One checks that

$$\operatorname{tr} C_1 = 0 = \operatorname{tr} C_2,$$

$$\operatorname{tr} C_1^2 = 2\nu^2 = 2\tau^2\mu = \operatorname{tr} C_2^2,$$

and

$$\operatorname{tr} C_1^* C_1 = 2\left|\nu\right|^2 + r^2 = 2\tau^2\left|\mu\right| + \tau^2\left(1 - |\mu|\right)^2 = \tau^2 + \tau^2\mu^2 = \operatorname{tr} C_2^* C_2,$$

so our assertion follows from Lemma 7.3. ■

8 Beyond normality

We conclude with several results involving unitary congruence and unitary *congruence.

8.1 Criteria for unitary congruence and *congruence

To show that two matrices are unitarily congruent (or unitarily *congruent), in certain cases it suffices to show only that they are congruent (or *congruent).

Theorem 8.1 *Let $A, B, S \in M_n$ be nonsingular and let $S = WQ$ be a right polar decomposition. Then*

(a) A and B are unitarily congruent if and only if the pairs (A, A^{-*}) and (B, B^{-*}) are congruent. In fact, if $(A, A^{-*}) = S(B, B^{-*})S^T$, then $(A, A^{-*}) = W(B, B^{-*})W^T$.

*(b) A and B are unitarily *congruent if and only if the pairs (A, A^{-*}) and (B, B^{-*}) are *congruent. In fact, if $(A, A^{-*}) = S(B, B^{-*})S^*$, then $(A, A^{-*}) = W(B, B^{-*})W^*$.*

Proof. Let $\lambda_1 > \cdots > \lambda_d > 0$ be the distinct eigenvalues of S^*S and let $p(t)$ be any polynomial such that $p(\lambda_i) = +\lambda_i^{1/2}$ and $p(\lambda_i^{-1}) = +\lambda_i^{-1/2}$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, d$. Then $Q = p(S^*S)$ is Hermitian and positive definite, $Q^2 = S^*S$, and $Q^{-1} = p\left((S^*S)^{-1}\right)$.
(a) If there is a unitary U such that $A = UBU^T$, then

$$(A, A^{-*}) = (UBU^T, UB^{-*}U^T) = U(B, B^{-*})U^T.$$

Conversely, if $(A, A^{-*}) = S(B, B^{-*})S^T$, then

$$SBS^T = A = \left(A^{-*}\right)^{-*} = \left(SB^{-*}S^T\right)^{-*} = S^{-*}B\bar{S}^{-1},$$

so

$$\left(S^*S\right)B = B\left(S^*S\right)^{-T}.$$

It follows that

$$g(S^*S)B = Bg((S^*S)^{-1})^T$$

for any polynomial $g(t)$. Choosing $g(t) = p(t)$, we have

$$QB = p(S^*S)B = Bp((S^*S)^{-1})^T = BQ^{-T},$$

so $QBQ^T = B$ and

$$A = SBS^T = WQBQ^TW^T = WBW^T.$$

(b) If there is a unitary U such that $A = UBU^*$, then

$$(A, A^{-*}) = (UBU^T, UB^{-*}U^*) = U(B, B^{-*})U^*.$$

Conversely, if $(A, A^{-*}) = S(B, B^{-*})S^*$, then

$$SBS^* = A = \left(A^{-*}\right)^{-*} = \left(SB^{-*}S^*\right)^{-*} = S^{-*}BS^{-1},$$

so

$$\left(S^*S\right)B = B\left(S^*S\right)^{-1}$$

and hence

$$g(S^*S)B = Bg((S^*S)^{-1})$$

for any polynomial $g(t)$. Choosing $g(t) = p(t)$, we have

$$QB = p(S^*S)B = Bp((S^*S)^{-1}) = BQ^{-1},$$

so $QBQ = QBQ^* = B$ and

$$A = SBS^* = WQBQ^*W^* = WQBQW^* = WBW^*.$$

■

Corollary 8.2 *Let $A, B \in M_n$ be given.*

(a) If A and B are either both unitary or both coninvolutory, then A and B are unitarily congruent if and only if they are congruent.

*(b) If A and B are either both unitary or both involutory, then A and B are unitarily *congruent if and only if they are *congruent.*

Proof. The key observation is that $A^{-*} = A$ if A is unitary, $A^{-*} = A^T$ if A is coninvolutory, and $A^{-*} = A^*$ if A is involutory.

(a) Suppose $A = SBS^T$. If A and B are unitary, then

$$(A, A^{-*}) = (A, A) = (SBS^T, SBS^T) = S(B, B)S^T = S(B, B^{-*})S^T,$$

so Theorem 8.1(a) ensures that A is unitarily congruent to B. If A and B are coninvolutory, then

$$(A, A^{-*}) = (A, A^T) = (SBS^T, SB^T S^T) = S(B, B^T)S^T = S(B, B^{-*})S^T,$$

so A is again unitarily congruent to B.

(b) Suppose $A = SBS^*$. If A and B are unitary, then

$$(A, A^{-*}) = (A, A) = (SBS^*, SBS^*) = S(B, B)S^* = S(B, B^{-*})S^*,$$

so A is unitarily *congruent to B. If A and B are involutory, then

$$(A, A^{-*}) = (A, A^*) = (SBS^*, SB^* S^*) = S(B, B^*)S^* = S(B, B^{-*})S^*,$$

so A is unitarily congruent to B. ■

8.2 Hermitian cosquares

Theorem 8.3 *Suppose that $A \in M_n$ is nonsingular. The following are equivalent:*

(a) $A^{-T}A$ is Hermitian.

(b) $\bar{A}A$ is Hermitian.

(c) A is unitarily congruent to a direct sum of real blocks, each of which is

$$[\sigma] \text{ or } \tau \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \mu & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \sigma > 0,\ \tau > 0,\ \mu \in \mathbb{R},\ 0 \neq \mu \neq 1. \tag{36}$$

This direct sum is uniquely determined by A, up to permutation of its blocks and replacement of any μ by μ^{-1}. Conversely, if A is unitarily congruent to a direct sum of blocks of the form (36), then $\bar{A}A$ is Hermitian.

Proof. $A^{-T}A$ is Hermitian if and only if

$$A^{-T}A = \left(A^{-T}A\right)^* = A^* \bar{A}^{-1}$$

if and only if

$$A\bar{A} = A^T A^* = \left(A\bar{A}\right)^*$$

if and only if $\bar{A}A = \overline{A\bar{A}}$ is Hermitian. The canonical blocks (36) are the same as those in (16), with the restriction that μ must be real. ■

Any coninvolution A satisfies the hypotheses of the preceding theorem: $\bar{A}A = I$.

Corollary 8.4 *Suppose that* $A \in M_n$ *and* $\bar{A}A = I$*. Then* A *is unitarily congruent to*

$$I_{n-2q} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{q} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sigma_j^{-1} \\ \sigma_j & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \sigma_j > 1, \tag{37}$$

in which $\sigma_1, \sigma_1^{-1}, \ldots, \sigma_q, \sigma_q^{-1}$ *are the singular values of* A *that are different from* 1 *and each* $\sigma_j > 1$*. Conversely, if* A *is unitarily congruent to a direct sum of the form (37), then* A *is coninvolutory. Two coninvolutions of the same size are unitarily congruent if and only if they have the same singular values.*

Proof. $\bar{A}A$ is Hermitian, so A is unitarily congruent to a direct sum of blocks of the two types (36). But $\bar{A}A = I$, so $\sigma = 1$ and $\tau^2\mu = 1$. Then $\tau = (\tau\mu)^{-1}$, so

$$\tau \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \mu & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (\tau\mu)^{-1} \\ \tau\mu & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

which has singular values $\tau\mu$ and $(\tau\mu)^{-1}$. ■

The general case is obtained by specializing Theorem 7.1.

Theorem 8.5 *Let* $A \in M_n$ *and suppose that* $\bar{A}A$ *is Hermitian. Then* A *is unitarily congruent to a direct sum of blocks, each of which is*

$$[\sigma] \ \text{ or } \tau \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \mu & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ \sigma, \tau, \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \ \sigma \geq 0, \ \mu \neq 1. \tag{38}$$

This direct sum is uniquely determined by A *up to permutation of its blocks and replacement of any (real) parameter* μ *by* μ^{-1}*. Conversely, if* A *is unitarily congruent to a direct sum of blocks of the form (38), then* $\bar{A}A$ *is Hermitian.*

8.3 Unitary cosquares

Theorem 8.6 *Suppose that* $A \in M_n$ *is nonsingular. The following are equivalent:*

(a) $A^{-T}A$ *is unitary.*

(b) A *is conjugate normal.*

(c) A *is unitarily congruent to a direct sum of blocks, each of which is*

$$[\sigma] \ \text{ or } \tau \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ e^{i\theta} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ \sigma, \tau, \theta \in \mathbb{R}, \ \sigma > 0, \ \tau > 0, \ 0 < \theta \leq \pi. \tag{39}$$

This direct sum is uniquely determined by the eigenvalues of $\bar{A}A$*, up to permutation of its summands. If* A *is unitarily congruent to a direct sum of blocks of the form (39), then* A *is conjugate normal.*

Proof. $A^{-T}A$ is unitary if and only if

$$A^{-1}A^{T} = \left(A^{-T}A\right)^{-1} = \left(A^{-T}A\right)^{*} = A^{*}\bar{A}^{-1}$$

if and only if

$$AA^{*} = A^{T}\bar{A} = \overline{A^{*}A}.$$

The canonical blocks (39) follow from (16) by specialization.

The eigenvalues of $\bar{A}A$ are of two types: positive eigenvalues that correspond to squares of blocks of the first type in (39), and conjugate pairs of non-positive (but possibly negative) eigenvalues $\{\tau^2 e^{i\theta}, \tau^2 e^{-i\theta}\}$ that correspond to blocks of the second type with $0 < \theta \leq \pi$. Thus, the parameters σ, τ, and $e^{i\theta}$ of the blocks in (39) can be inferred from the eigenvalues of $\bar{A}A$. ■

The unitary congruence canonical blocks (39) for a conjugate normal matrix are a subset of the canonical blocks (28) for a congruence normal matrix; the 2-by-2 singular blocks are omitted, and the 2-by-2 nonsingular blocks are required to be positive scalar multiples of a unitary block. This observation shows that every conjugate normal matrix is congruence normal. Moreover, examination of the canonical blocks of a conjugate normal matrix shows that it is unitarily congruent to a direct sum of a positive diagonal matrix, positive scalar multiples of unitary matrices (which the following corollary shows may be taken to be real), and a zero matrix. Thus, a conjugate normal matrix is unitarily congruent to a real normal matrix.

If A itself is unitary, then its cosquare $A^{-T}A = \bar{A}A$ is certainly unitary, so the unitary congruence canonical form of a unitary matrix follows from the preceding theorem. Of course, the eigenvalues of the cosquare of a unitary matrix are all unimodular and are constrained by the conditions in (2): any eigenvalue $\mu \neq 1$ (even $\mu = -1$) occurs in a conjugate pair $\{\mu, \bar{\mu}\}$.

Corollary 8.7 *Suppose that $U \in M_n$ is unitary. Then U is unitarily congruent to*

$$I_{n-2q} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{q} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \mu_j & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{C},\ |\mu_j| = 1,\ \mu_j \neq 1, \tag{40}$$

in which $\mu_1, \bar{\mu}_1, \ldots, \mu_q, \bar{\mu}_q$ are the eigenvalues of $\bar{U}U$ that are different from 1. If $\mu_j = e^{i\theta_j}$, then each unitary 2-by-2 block $H_2(e^{i\theta_j})$ in (40) can be replaced by a real orthogonal block

$$Q_2(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\beta & \alpha \end{bmatrix} \tag{41}$$

in which $\alpha = \cos(\theta/2)$ and $\beta = \sin(\theta/2)$, or by a Hermitian unitary block

$$\mathcal{H}_2(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & e^{-i\theta/2} \\ e^{i\theta/2} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{42}$$

Thus, U is unitarily congruent to a real orthogonal matrix as well as to a Hermitian unitary matrix.

Proof. $U^{-T}U = \bar{U}U$ so the parameters μ in (39) correspond to the pairs of unimodular conjugate eigenvalues of $\bar{U}U$. Since each block in (39) must be unitary, the parameters σ and τ must be $+1$. One checks that the cosquares of $H_2(e^{i\theta_j})$ and $Q_2(\theta)$ (41) (both unitary) have the same eigenvalues (namely, $e^{\pm i\theta_j}$), so they are similar. Theorem 3.2(a) ensures that $H_2(e^{i\theta_j})$ and $Q_2(\theta)$ are congruent, and Corollary 8.2(a) ensures that they are actually unitarily congruent. The unitary congruence

$$\begin{bmatrix} e^{-i\theta/4} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\theta/4} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ e^{i\theta} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e^{-i\theta/4} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\theta/4} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & e^{-i\theta/2} \\ e^{i\theta/2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

shows that the 2-by-2 blocks in (40) may be replaced by Hermitian blocks of the form $\mathcal{H}_2(\theta)$. ■

In order to state the general case of Theorem 8.6, we need to know what the singular part of a conjugate normal matrix is, after regularization by unitary congruence.

Lemma 8.8 *Let $A \in M_n$ be singular and conjugate normal; let m_1 be the nullity of A. Then*

(a) The angle between Ax and Ay is the same as the angle between $A^T x$ and $A^T y$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

(b) $\|Ax\| = \|A^T x\|$ for all $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$;

(c) $N(A) = N(A^T)$; and

(d) A is unitarily congruent to $A' \oplus 0_{m_1}$ in which A' is nonsingular and conjugate normal.

Proof. Compute

$$(Ax)^*(Ay) = x^* A^* Ay = x^* \overline{AA^*} y = (A^T x)^*(A^T y);$$

when $x = y$ we have $\|Ax\|^2 = \|A^T x\|^2$. In particular, $Ax = 0$ if and only if $A^T x = 0$.

In the reduced form (24) of A we have

$$m_2 = \dim N(A) - \dim(N(A) \cap N(A^T)) = \dim N(A) - \dim N(A) = 0.$$

Thus, A is unitarily congruent to $A' \oplus 0_{m_1}$; A' is nonsingular and its unitary congruence class is uniquely determined; and

$$(A')^* A' \oplus 0_{m_1} = A^* A = \overline{AA^*} = \overline{A' (A')^*} \oplus 0_{m_1},$$

so A' is conjugate normal. ■

Corollary 8.9 *Let $A \in M_n$ and suppose that A is conjugate normal. Then A is unitarily congruent to a direct sum of blocks, each of which is*

$$[\sigma] \ \text{ or } \tau \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ e^{i\theta} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ \sigma, \tau, \theta \in \mathbb{R}, \ \sigma \geq 0, \ \tau > 0, \ 0 < \theta \leq \pi. \tag{43}$$

This direct sum is uniquely determined by the eigenvalues of $\bar{A}A$, up to permutation of its blocks: there is one block $\sqrt{\rho}H_2(e^{i\theta})$ (with $\sqrt{\rho} > 0$) corresponding to each conjugate eigenvalue pair $\{\rho e^{i\theta}, \rho e^{-i\theta}\}$ of $\bar{A}A$ with $\rho > 0$ and $0 < \theta \leq \pi$; the number of blocks $[\sigma]$ with $\sigma > 0$ equals the multiplicity of σ as a (positive) eigenvalue of $\bar{A}A$, so the total number of blocks of this type equals the number of positive eigenvalues of $\bar{A}A$; the number of blocks $[0]$ equals the nullity of A.

If $B \in M_n$ is conjugate normal, then A is unitarily congruent to B if and only if $\bar{A}A$ and $\bar{B}B$ have the same eigenvalues.

Each unitary block $H_2(e^{i\theta})$ in (43) can be replaced by a real orthogonal block

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\beta & \alpha \end{bmatrix}, \ \alpha = \cos(\theta/2) \text{ and } \beta = \sin(\theta/2).$$

If A is unitarily congruent to a direct sum of blocks of the form (43), then A is conjugate normal.

8.4 Hermitian *cosquares

Theorem 8.10 *Suppose that $A \in M_n$ is nonsingular. The following are equivalent:*

(a) $A^{-*}A$ is Hermitian.

(b) A^2 is Hermitian.

*(c) A is unitarily *congruent to a direct sum (uniquely determined by A up to permutation of summands) of blocks, each of which is*

$$[\lambda], \ [i\nu], \ \text{or } \tau \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \mu & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \lambda, \nu, \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \ \lambda \neq 0 \neq \nu, \ \tau > 0, \ 0 < |\mu| < 1. \tag{44}$$

*If $\mu_1, \mu_1^{-1}, \ldots, \mu_q, \mu_q^{-1}$ are the (real) eigenvalues of $A^{-*}A$ that are not equal to ± 1 and satisfy $0 < |\mu_j| < 1$ for $j = 1, \ldots, q$, and if $A^{-*}A$ has p eigenvalues equal to $+1$, then the unitary *congruence canonical form of A has p blocks of the first type in (44), $n - 2q - p$ blocks of the second type, and q blocks of the third type.*

Proof. $A^{-*}A$ is Hermitian if and only if

$$A^{-*}A = \left(A^{-*}A\right)^* = A^*A^{-1}$$

if and only if

$$A^2 = \left(A^2\right)^*.$$

The canonical blocks (44) follow from (23) by specialization. ∎

Any involutory matrix satisfies the hypotheses of the preceding theorem.

Corollary 8.11 *Let $A \in M_n$, suppose that $A^2 = I$, and suppose that A has p eigenvalues equal to 1. The singular values of A that are different from 1 occur in reciprocal pairs: $\sigma_1, \sigma_1^{-1}, \ldots, \sigma_q, \sigma_q^{-1}$ in which each $\sigma_i > 1$. Then A is unitarily *congruent to*

$$I_{p-q} \oplus (-I_{n-p-q}) \bigoplus_{j=1}^{q} \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & \sigma_j^{-1} \\ \sigma_j & 0 \end{array} \right], \quad \sigma_j > 1 \tag{45}$$

as well as to

$$I_{p-q} \oplus (-I_{n-p-q}) \bigoplus_{i=1}^{q} \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & \sigma_i - \sigma_i^{-1} \\ 0 & -1 \end{array} \right]. \tag{46}$$

*Conversely, if A is unitarily *congruent to a direct sum of either form (45) or (46), then A is an involution and has $p - q$ eigenvalues equal to 1. Two involutions of the same size are unitarily *congruent if and only if they have the same singular values and $+1$ is an eigenvalue with the same multiplicity for each of them.*

Proof. Since $A = A^{-1}$, $A^{-*}A = A^*A$ and the eigenvalues of the *cosquare are just the squares of the singular values of A; the eigenvalues of the *cosquare A^*A that are not equal to 1 must occur in reciprocal pairs. Let $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_q$ be the singular values of A that are greater than 1. Each 2-by-2 block in (44) has the form

$$\tau_j \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ \sigma_j^2 & 0 \end{array} \right],$$

which has singular values $\tau_j \sigma_j^2$ and τ_j; they are reciprocal if and only if $\tau_j = \sigma_j^{-1}$. Each 2-by-2 block contributes a pair of eigenvalues ± 1, which results in the asserted summands $I_{p-q} \oplus (-I_{n-p-q})$ since all of the eigenvalues of A are ± 1.

To confirm that A is unitarily *congruent to the direct sum (46), it suffices to show that

$$C_1 = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & \sigma - \sigma^{-1} \\ 0 & -1 \end{array} \right] \quad \text{and} \quad C_2 = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & \sigma^{-1} \\ \sigma & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

are unitarily *congruent. Using Lemma 7.3, it suffices to observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{tr} C_1 &= 0 = \operatorname{tr} C_2 \\ \operatorname{tr} C_1^2 &= 2 = \operatorname{tr} C_2^2, \text{ and} \\ \operatorname{tr} C_1^* C_1 &= \sigma^2 + \sigma^{-2} = \operatorname{tr} C_2^* C_2 \end{aligned}$$

■

The general case is obtained by specialization of Theorems 7.2 and 7.4.

Theorem 8.12 *Let $A \in M_n$ and suppose A^2 is Hermitian. Then A is unitarily *congruent to a direct sum of blocks, each of which is*

$$[\lambda], \ [i\lambda], \text{ or } \tau \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ \mu & 0 \end{array} \right], \ \lambda, \mu, \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \ \tau > 0, \ -1 < \mu < 1. \tag{47}$$

*Alternatively, A is unitarily *congruent to a direct sum of blocks, each of which is*

$$[\lambda]\,,\ [i\lambda]\,,\ or\ \begin{bmatrix} \tau\sqrt{\mu} & \tau\left(1-|\mu|\right) \\ 0 & -\tau\sqrt{\mu} \end{bmatrix},\ \sqrt{\mu}\in\mathcal{D}_+,$$

in which the parameters τ and μ satisfy the conditions in (47).

8.5 Unitary *cosquares

Theorem 8.13 *Suppose $A \in M_n$ is nonsingular. The following are equivalent:*

(a) $A^{-*}A$ is unitary.

(b) A is normal.

*(c) A is unitarily *congruent to a direct sum of blocks, each of which is*

$$[\lambda]\,,\quad \lambda\in\mathbb{C},\,\lambda\neq 0. \tag{48}$$

Proof. $A^{-*}A$ is unitary if and only if

$$A^{-1}A^{*}=\left(A^{-*}A\right)^{-1}=\left(A^{-*}A\right)^{*}=A^{*}A^{-1}$$

if and only if

$$AA^{*}=A^{*}A.$$

The canonical blocks (48) follow from (23) by specialization. ■

8.6 Projections and λ-projections

The unitary *congruence regularization algorithm described in Theorem 6.1(b) permits us to identify a unitary *congruence canonical form for λ-projections, that is, matrices $A \in M_n$ such that $A^2 = \lambda A$. A 1-projection is an ordinary projection, while a nonzero 0-projection is a nilpotent matrix with index 2. A complex matrix whose minimal polynomial is quadratic is a translation of a λ-projection.

Theorem 8.14 *Let $A \in M_n$ be singular and nonzero, let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ be given, and suppose that $A^2 = \lambda A$. Let m_1 be the nullity of A and let $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_{m_2}$ be the singular values of A that are strictly greater than $|\lambda|$ ($m_2 = 0$ is possible). Then*

*(a) A is unitarily *congruent to*

$$\lambda I_{n-m_1-m_2}\oplus\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m_2}\begin{bmatrix}\lambda & \sqrt{\tau_i^2-|\lambda|^2}\\ 0 & 0\end{bmatrix}\oplus 0_{m_1-m_2}. \tag{49}$$

This direct sum is uniquely determined by λ and the singular values of A, which are $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_{m_2}$, $|\lambda|$ with multiplicity $n - m_1 - m_2$, and 0 with multiplicity m_1.

*(b) For a given λ, two λ-projections of the same size are unitarily *congruent*

if and only if they have the same rank and the same singular values.

(c) Suppose $0 \neq A \neq \lambda I$ *and let* $\nu = \min\{m_1, n-m_1\}$*. Then* $\nu > 0$ *and the* ν *largest singular values of* A *and* $A - \lambda I$ *are the same. In particular, the spectral norms of* A *and* $A-\lambda I$ *are equal.*

Proof. (a) Let F denote a reduced form (24) for A under unitary *congruence, which is also a λ-projection. Compute

$$F^2 = \begin{bmatrix} A' & B & 0 \\ C & D & [\Sigma\ 0] \\ 0 & 0 & 0_{m_1} \end{bmatrix}^2 = \begin{bmatrix} \bigstar & \bigstar & B\,[\Sigma\ 0] \\ \bigstar & \bigstar & D\,[\Sigma\ 0] \\ 0 & 0 & 0_{m_1} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\lambda F = \begin{bmatrix} \bigstar & \bigstar & 0 \\ \bigstar & \bigstar & \lambda\,[\Sigma\ 0] \\ 0 & 0 & 0_{m_1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since the block $[\Sigma\ 0]$ has full row rank, we conclude that $B = 0$ and $D = \lambda I_{m_2}$. Moreover, A' must be nonsingular because $[A'\ B]$ has full row rank. Now examine

$$F^2 = \begin{bmatrix} A' & 0 & 0 \\ C & \lambda I_{m_2} & [\Sigma\ 0] \\ 0 & 0 & 0_{m_1} \end{bmatrix}^2 = \begin{bmatrix} (A')^2 & 0 & 0 \\ CA' + \lambda C & \lambda^2 I_{m_2} & \lambda\,[\Sigma\ 0] \\ 0 & 0 & 0_{m_1} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\lambda F = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda A' & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda C & \lambda^2 I_{m_2} & \lambda\,[\Sigma\ 0] \\ 0 & 0 & 0_{m_1} \end{bmatrix},$$

so that $(A')^2 = \lambda A'$ (and A' is nonsingular), and $CA' + \lambda C = \lambda C$. The first of these identities tells us that $A' = \lambda I_{n-m_1-m_2}$, and the second tells us that $C = 0$. Thus, A is unitarily *congruent to

$$\lambda I_{n-m_2-m_1} \oplus \begin{bmatrix} \lambda I_{m_2} & [\Sigma\ 0] \\ 0 & 0_{m_1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Sigma = \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{m_2}), \text{ all } \sigma_i > 0,$$

which is unitarily *congruent (permutation similar) to

$$\lambda I_{n-m_2-m_1} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m_2} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & \sigma_i \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \oplus 0_{m_1-m_2}.$$

(b) The singular values of the 2-by-2 blocks are 0 and $\tau_i = \sqrt{|\lambda|^2 + \sigma_i^2} > |\lambda|$, so $\sigma_i = \sqrt{\tau_i^2 - |\lambda|^2}$.

(c) $A - \lambda I$ is unitarily *congruent to

$$0_{n-m_2-m_1} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m_2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sigma_i \\ 0 & -\lambda \end{bmatrix} \oplus (-\lambda) I_{m_1-m_2},$$

so its singular values are: $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_{m_2}$, $|\lambda|$ with multiplicity $m_1 - m_2$, and 0 with multiplicity $n - m_1$. ■

Let $q(t) = (t - \lambda_1)(t - \lambda_2)$ be a given quadratic polynomial (possibly $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$). If $q(t)$ is the minimal polynomial of a given $A \in M_n$, then $q(A) = 0$, $A - \lambda_1 I$ is a λ-projection with $\lambda := \lambda_2 - \lambda_1$, and A is not a scalar matrix. Theorem 8.14 gives a canonical form to which $A - \lambda_1 I$ (and hence A itself) can be reduced by unitary *congruence.

Corollary 8.15 *Suppose the minimal polynomial of a given $A \in M_n$ has degree two, and suppose that λ_1, λ_2 are the eigenvalues of A with respective multiplicities d and $n - d$; if $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$, let $d = n$. Suppose that $|\lambda_1| \geq |\lambda_2|$ and let $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_m$ be the singular values of A that are strictly greater than $|\lambda_1|$ ($m = 0$ is possible). Then: (a) A is unitarily *congruent to*

$$\lambda_1 I_{n-d-m} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & \gamma_i \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} \oplus \lambda_2 I_{d-m}, \tag{50}$$

in which each

$$\gamma_i = \sqrt{\sigma_i^2 + |\lambda_1 \lambda_2|^2 \sigma_i^{-2} - |\lambda_1|^2 - |\lambda_2|^2} > 0.$$

The direct sum (50) is uniquely determined, up to permutation of summands, by the eigenvalues and singular values of A. The singular values of A are $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_m$, $|\lambda_1 \lambda_2| \sigma_1^{-1}, \ldots, |\lambda_1 \lambda_2| \sigma_m^{-1}$, $|\lambda_1|$ with multiplicity $n - d - m$, and $|\lambda_2|$ with multiplicity $d - m$.

*(b) Two square complex matrices that have quadratic minimal polynomials are unitarily *congruent if and only if they have the same eigenvalues and the same singular values.*

Proof. If A is singular, then $\lambda_2 = 0$, A is a λ_1-projection, and the validity of the assertions of the corollary is ensured by Theorem 8.14.

Now assume that A is nonsingular. The hypotheses ensure that $A - \lambda_1 I$ is singular and nonzero, and that it is a λ-projection with $\lambda := \lambda_2 - \lambda_1$. It is therefore unitarily *congruent to a direct sum of the form (49) with $m_1 = d$ and $m = m_2$; after a translation by $\lambda_1 I$, we find that A is unitarily *congruent to a direct sum of the form (50) in which each $\gamma_i = (\tau_i^2 - |\lambda|^2)^{1/2} > 0$. Therefore, A has $n - d - m$ singular values equal to $|\lambda_1|$ and $d - m$ singular values equal to $|\lambda_2|$. In addition, corresponding to each 2-by-2 block in (50) is a pair of singular values (σ_i, ρ_i) of A such that

$$\sigma_i \geq \rho_i > 0 \text{ and } \sigma_i \rho_i = |\lambda_1 \lambda_2| \tag{51}$$

for each $i = 1, \ldots, m$. Since the spectral norm always dominates the spectral radius, we have $\sigma_i \geq |\lambda_1|$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, m$; calculating the Frobenius norm tells us that

$$\sigma_i^2 + \rho_i^2 = |\lambda_1|^2 + |\lambda_2|^2 + \gamma_i^2.$$

If $\sigma_i = |\lambda_1|$ then (51) ensures that $\rho_i = |\lambda_2|$, which is impossible since $\gamma_i > 0$. Thus, A has m singular values $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_m$ that are strictly greater than $|\lambda_1|$, and m corresponding singular values $\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_m$ that are strictly less than $|\lambda_1|$; each pair (σ_i, ρ_i) satisfies (51). Thus, the parameters γ_i in (50) satisfy

$$\gamma_i^2 = \sigma_i^2 + \rho_i^2 - |\lambda_1|^2 - |\lambda_2|^2 = \sigma_i^2 + |\lambda_1\lambda_2|^2\sigma_i^{-2} - |\lambda_1|^2 - |\lambda_2|^2.$$

If two complex matrices of the same size have quadratic minimal polynomials, and if they have the same eigenvalues and singular values, then each is unitarily *congruent to a direct sum of the form (50) in which the parameters $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, d, m,$ and $\{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m\}$ are the same; the two direct sums must be the same up to permutation of their summands. Conversely, *any* two unitarily *congruent matrices have the same eigenvalues and singular values. ■

Let $p(t) = t^2 - 2at + b^2$ be a given monic polynomial of degree two. Corollary 8.15 tells us that if $p(A) = 0$, then A is unitarily *congruent to a direct sum of certain special 1-by-1 and 2-by-2 blocks. We can draw a similar conclusion under the weaker hypothesis that $p(A)$ is normal.

Proof.

Proposition 8.16 *Let $A \in M_n$ and suppose there are $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $N = A^2 - 2aA + bI$ is normal. Then A is unitarily *congruent to a direct sum of blocks, each of which is*

$$[\lambda] \quad \textit{or} \quad \begin{bmatrix} a+\nu & r \\ 0 & a-\nu \end{bmatrix}, \quad \lambda, \nu \in \mathbb{C}, r \in \mathbb{R}, r > 0, \ \textit{and}\ \nu \in \mathcal{D}_+.$$

■

Proof. A calculation reveals that $(A - aI)^2 = N + (a^2 - b)I$, which is normal. The conclusion follows from applying Theorem 7.4 to the squared normal matrix $A - aI$. ■

8.7 Characterizations

Corollary 8.9 tells us that a conjugate normal matrix is unitarily congruent to a direct sum of a zero matrix and positive scalar multiples of real orthogonal matrices; such a matrix is real and normal. The following theorem gives additional characterizations of conjugate normal matrices.

Theorem 8.17 *Let $A \in M_n$ and let $A = PU = UQ$ be left and right polar decompositions. Let $\sigma_1 > \sigma_2 > \cdots > \sigma_d \geq 0$ be the ordered distinct singular values of A with respective multiplicities $n_1, \ldots, n_d$ (if $A = 0$ let $d = 1$ and $\sigma_1 = 0$). Let $A = \mathcal{S} + \mathcal{C}$, in which $\mathcal{S} = \left(A + A^T\right)/2$ is symmetric and $\mathcal{C} = \left(A - A^T\right)/2$ is skew symmetric. The following are equivalent:*

(a) $\mathcal{S}\bar{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{C}\bar{\mathcal{S}}$.

(b) A is conjugate normal.

(c) $Q = \bar{P}$, that is, $A = PU = U\bar{P}$.

(d) $PA = A\bar{P}$.

(e) There are unitary matrices $W_1, \ldots, W_d$ *with respective sizes* $n_1, \ldots, n_d$ *such that* A *is unitarily congruent to*

$$\sigma_1 W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \sigma_d W_d. \tag{52}$$

(f) There are real orthogonal matrices $Q_1, \ldots, Q_d$ *with respective sizes* $n_1, \ldots, n_d$ *such that* A *is unitarily congruent to the real normal matrix*

$$\sigma_1 Q_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \sigma_d Q_d. \tag{53}$$

Proof. (a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (b): Compute

$$\begin{aligned} A^*A &= \left(\bar{\mathcal{S}} - \bar{\mathcal{C}}\right)(\mathcal{S} + \mathcal{C}) = \bar{\mathcal{S}}\mathcal{S} + \bar{\mathcal{S}}\mathcal{C} - \bar{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{S} - \bar{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{C} \\ \overline{AA^*} &= \left(\bar{\mathcal{S}} + \bar{\mathcal{C}}\right)(\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{C}) = \bar{\mathcal{S}}\mathcal{S} - \bar{\mathcal{S}}\mathcal{C} + \bar{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{S} - \bar{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{C} \\ A^*A - \overline{AA^*} &= 2\left(\bar{\mathcal{S}}\mathcal{C} - \bar{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{S}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $A^*A = \overline{AA^*}$ if and only if $\bar{\mathcal{S}}\mathcal{C} = \bar{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{S}$.

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (c): If $p(t)$ is any polynomial such that $p(\sigma_i^2) = \sigma_i$ for each $i = 1, ..., d$, then $Q = p(A^*A)$ and $P = p(AA^*)$. If $A^*A = \overline{AA^*}$ then

$$Q = p(A^*A) = p(\overline{AA^*}) = p\left((AA^*)^T\right) = p(AA^*)^T = P^T = \bar{P}.$$

(c) $\Rightarrow$ (d): $A\bar{P} = P(U\bar{P}) = P(PU) = PA$.

(d) $\Rightarrow$ (c): Let $P = V\Lambda V^*$ in which V is unitary and Λ is nonnegative diagonal. Let $W = V^*U\bar{V}$. Then

$$A\bar{P} = PU\bar{P} = (V\Lambda V^*)U(\bar{V}\Lambda V^T) = V(\Lambda W \Lambda)V^T$$

and

$$PA = P^2U = V\Lambda^2V^*U = V(\Lambda^2 W)V^T,$$

so $\Lambda W \Lambda = \Lambda^2 W$. Lemma 4.3 ensures that $\Lambda W = W\Lambda$, so

$$PU = V\Lambda V^*U\bar{V}V^T = V\Lambda W V^T = VW\Lambda V^T = U\bar{V}\Lambda V^T = U\bar{P}.$$

(c) $\Rightarrow$ (e): Suppose $P = V\Lambda V^*$, in which $\Lambda = \sigma_1 I_{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \sigma_d I_{n_d}$ and V is unitary. If $Q = \bar{P}$ then

$$A = PU = V\Lambda V^*U = U\bar{V}\Lambda V^T = U\bar{P} = UQ = A$$

and hence

$$\Lambda\left(V^*U\bar{V}\right) = \left(V^*U\bar{V}\right)\Lambda,$$

which implies that the unitary matrix $V^*U\bar{V} = W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_d$ is block diagonal; each W_i is unitary and has size n_i. Thus,

$$U = V(W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_d)V^T$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A = PU = V\Lambda V^*U &= V\Lambda V^*V\,(W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_d)\,V^T \\ &= V\,(\sigma_1 W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \sigma_d W_d)\,V^T. \end{aligned}$$

(e) ⇒ (f): Corollary 8.7 ensures that each W_j in (52) is unitarily congruent to a real orthogonal matrix.

(f) ⇒ (a): Let Z denote the direct sum (53) and suppose $A = UZU^T$ for some unitary U. Then $\mathcal{S} = \frac{1}{2}U(Z + Z^T)U^T$ and $\mathcal{C} = \frac{1}{2}U(Z - Z^T)U^T$, so it suffices to show that Z commutes with Z^T. But each Q_i is real orthogonal, so

$$ZZ^T = \sigma_1^2 Q_1 Q_1^T \oplus \cdots \oplus \sigma_d^2 Q_d Q_d^T = \sigma_1^2 I_{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \sigma_d^2 I_{n_d} = Z^T Z.$$

■

For normal matrices, an analog of Theorem 8.17 is the following set of equivalent statements:

(a) $HK = KH$, in which $H = (A + A^*)/2$ and $K = (A - A^*)/(2i)$.

(b) A is normal.

(c) $Q = P$, that is, $A = PU = UP$.

(d) $PA = AP$.

(e) A is unitarily *congruent to a direct sum of the form (52), in which $\sigma_1 > \cdots > \sigma_d \geq 0$ are the distinct singular values of A and $W_1, \ldots, W_d$ are unitary.

The following theorem about conjugate normal matrices is an analog of a known result about *congruence of normal matrices [16] (and, more generally, about unitoid matrices [19, p. 289]).

Theorem 8.18 *(a) A nonsingular complex matrix is congruent to a conjugate normal matrix if and only if it is congruent to a unitary matrix.*

(b) A singular complex matrix is congruent to a conjugate normal matrix if and only if it is congruent to a direct sum of a unitary matrix and a zero matrix.

(c) Each conjugate normal matrix $A \in M_n$ is congruent to a direct sum, uniquely determined up to permutation of summands, of the form

$$I_{r-2q} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{q} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ e^{i\theta_j} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \oplus 0_{n-r}, \quad 0 < \theta_j \leq \pi, \tag{54}$$

in which $r = \operatorname{rank} A$ and there is one block $H_2(e^{i\theta_j})$ corresponding to each eigenvalue of $\bar{A}A$ that lies on the open ray $\{te^{i\theta_j} : t > 0\}$. The summand I_{r-2q} corresponds to the $r - 2q$ positive eigenvalues of $\bar{A}A$.

(d) Two conjugate normal matrices A and B of the same size are congruent if and only if for each $\theta \in [0, \pi]$, $\bar{A}A$ and $\bar{B}B$ have the same number of eigenvalues on each open ray $\{te^{i\theta} : t > 0\}$.

Proof. Only assertion (d) requires comment. If A is conjugate normal and nonsingular, the decomposition (52) ensures that $\bar{A}A$ is unitarily similar to (and hence has the same eigenvalues as)

$$\mathcal{W} = \sigma_1^2 \overline{W_1} W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \sigma_d^2 \overline{W_d} W_d.$$

Of course, $\mathcal{W}$ and the unitary matrix

$$W_1 \overline{W_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus W_d \overline{W_d}$$

have the same number of eigenvalues on each open ray $\{te^{i\theta} : t > 0\}$; this number is the same as the number of blocks $H_2(e^{i\theta_j})$ in the direct sum (54). The argument is similar if A is singular; just omit the last direct summand $\sigma_d W_d$. ■

There is an analog of Theorem 8.17 for congruence normal matrices.

Theorem 8.19 *Let $A \in M_n$ and let $A = PU = UQ$ be left and right polar decompositions. Let $A = \mathcal{S} + \mathcal{C}$, in which $\mathcal{S} = (A + A^T)/2$ is symmetric and $\mathcal{C} = (A - A^T)/2$ is skew symmetric. The following are equivalent:*

(a) $\bar{\mathcal{S}}\mathcal{S} + \bar{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{C}$ commutes with $\bar{\mathcal{S}}\mathcal{C} + \bar{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{S}$.

(b) A is congruence normal.

(c) $A\bar{P} = \bar{Q}A$.

(d) $\{\bar{P}, Q, \bar{U}U\}$ is a commuting family.

Proof. (a) ⇔ (b): A computation reveals that the Hermitian part of $\bar{A}A$ is $\bar{\mathcal{S}}\mathcal{S} + \bar{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{C}$, while the skew-Hermitian part is $\bar{\mathcal{S}}\mathcal{C} + \bar{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{S}$. Of course, $\bar{A}A$ is normal if and only if its Hermitian and skew-Hermitian parts commute.

(b) ⇔ (c): Theorem 5.2 tells us that if A is congruence normal then $A\left(\bar{P}\right)^2 = \left(\bar{Q}\right)^2 A$, which is the same as $A\left(P^2\right)^T = \left(Q^2\right)^T A$, which implies that $Ap(P^2)^T = p(Q^2)^T A$ for any polynomial $p(t)$. Choose $p(t)$ such that $p(t) = +\sqrt{t}$ on the spectrum of P (and hence also on the spectrum of Q), and conclude that $AP^T = Q^T A$, or $A\bar{P} = \bar{Q}A$. The converse implication is immediate:

$$A\bar{P} = \bar{Q}A \Rightarrow A\left(\bar{P}\right)^2 = \left(\bar{Q}\right)^2 A.$$

(b) ⇒ (d): Suppose V is unitary, let $\mathcal{A} := VAV^T$, and consider the factors of the left and right polar decompositions $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{P}\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}\mathcal{Q}$. One checks that $\mathcal{P} = VPV^*$, $\mathcal{Q} = \bar{V}QV^T$, and $\mathcal{U} = VUV^T$. Moreover, $\{\bar{P}, Q, \bar{U}U\}$ is a commuting family if and only if $\{\bar{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{Q}, \overline{\mathcal{U}}\mathcal{U}\}$ is a commuting family. Thus, if A is congruence normal, there is no lack of generality to assume that it is a direct sum of blocks of the form (28). Blocks of the first type in (28) are 1-by-1, so commutation is trivial. For blocks of the second type, the polar factors are

$$P = \tau \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & |\mu| \end{bmatrix}, \; Q = \tau \begin{bmatrix} |\mu| & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } U = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ e^{i\theta} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ so } \bar{U}U = \begin{bmatrix} e^{i\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\theta} \end{bmatrix}.$$

For both types of blocks, $\{\bar{P}, Q, \bar{U}U\}$ is a diagonal family, so it is a commuting family.

(d) $\Rightarrow$ (b): If $\{\bar{P}, Q, \bar{U}U\}$ is a commuting family, then

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{A}A &= \bar{P}\bar{U}UQ = \bar{P}\left(\bar{U}U\right)Q = \left(\bar{U}U\right)\left(\bar{P}Q\right) \\ &= \bar{P}\left(\bar{U}U\right)Q = \left(\bar{P}Q\right)\left(\bar{U}U\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\bar{P}$ and Q are commuting positive semidefinite Hermitian matrices, $\bar{P}Q$ is positive semidefinite Hermitian. But $\bar{U}U$ is unitary without further assumptions, so we have a polar decomposition of $\bar{A}A$ in which the factors commute. This ensures that $\bar{A}A$ is normal. ■

A calculation reveals that if $\mathcal{S}\bar{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{C}\bar{\mathcal{S}}$ then $\bar{\mathcal{S}}\mathcal{S} + \bar{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{C}$ commutes with $\bar{\mathcal{S}}\mathcal{C} + \bar{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{S}$, and that if $PU = U\bar{P}$ then $\{\bar{P}, Q, \bar{U}U\}$ is a commuting family. Thus, Theorems 8.17 and 8.19 permit us to conclude (again) that every conjugate normal matrix is congruence normal.

For squared normal matrices, an analog of Theorem 8.19 is the following set of equivalent statements:

(a) $H^2 - K^2$ commutes with $HK + KH$, in which $H = (A + A^*)/2$ and $K = (A - A^*)/(2i)$.

(b) A^2 is normal.

(c) $AP = QA$.

(d) $\{P, Q, U^2\}$ is a commuting family.

Our final characterization links the parallel expositions we have given for squared normality and congruence normality.

Theorem 8.20 *Let $A \in M_n$ and let*

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ \bar{A} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{55}$$

Then:
(a) A^2 is normal if and only if $\mathcal{A}$ is congruence normal.
(b) A is congruence normal if and only if $\mathcal{A}^2$ is normal.
(c) A is normal if and only if $\mathcal{A}$ is conjugate normal.
(d) A is conjugate normal if and only if $\mathcal{A}$ is normal.
(e) $\mathcal{A}\overline{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{A}^T = \mathcal{A}^T\overline{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{A}$ if and only if $A^*A^2 = A^2A^*$.
(f) $\mathcal{A}^*\mathcal{A}^2 = \mathcal{A}^2\mathcal{A}^*$ if and only if $A\bar{A}A^T = A^T\bar{A}A$.

Now suppose that A is nonsingular. Then:
(g) $A^{-T}A$ is normal (respectively, Hermitian, unitary) if and only if $\mathcal{A}^{-*}\mathcal{A}$ is normal (respectively, Hermitian, unitary).
(h) $A^{-*}A$ is normal (respectively, Hermitian, unitary) if and only if $\mathcal{A}^{-T}\mathcal{A}$ is normal (respectively, Hermitian, unitary).

Proof. Each assertion follows from a computation. For example, (a) follows from

$$\overline{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{A}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & A^2 \end{bmatrix},$$

(g) follows from

$$\mathcal{A}^{-*}\mathcal{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{A^{-T}A} & 0 \\ 0 & A^{-T}A \end{bmatrix},$$

and (h) follows from

$$\mathcal{A}^{-T}\mathcal{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{A^{-*}A} & 0 \\ 0 & A^{-*}A \end{bmatrix}.$$

■

Using Theorem 8.20, we can show that Theorems 5.2 and 5.5 are actually equivalent: First apply Theorem 5.5 to $\mathcal{A}$, which tells us that $\mathcal{A}^2$ is normal if and only if $\mathcal{A}^*\mathcal{A}^2 = \mathcal{A}^2\mathcal{A}^*$ if and only if $\mathcal{A}^{-*}\mathcal{A}$ is normal (if A is nonsingular). Theorem 8.20 (b), (f), and (g) now ensure that A is congruence normal if and only if $A\bar{A}A^T = A^T\bar{A}A$ if and only if $A^{-T}A$ is normal (if A is nonsingular). Thus, Theorem 5.5 implies Theorem 5.2. The reverse implication follows from applying Theorem 5.2 to $\mathcal{A}$ and using Theorem 8.20 (a), (e), and (h).

A similar argument shows that the equivalence of Theorem 8.3 (a) and (b) (respectively, Theorem 8.6 (a) and (b)) implies and is implied by the equivalence of Theorem 8.10 (a) and (b) (respectively, Theorem 8.13 (a) and (b)).

8.8 The classification problem for cubed normals is unitarily wild

We have seen that there are simple canonical forms for squared normal matrices under unitary *congruence, and also for congruence normal matrices under unitary congruence. However, the situation for cubed normal matrices under unitary *congruence (and for matrices A such that $A\bar{A}A$ is normal, under unitary congruence) is completely different; the classification problems in these cases are very difficult.

A problem involving complex matrices is said to be *unitarily wild* if it contains the problem of classifying arbitrary square complex matrices under unitary *congruence. Since the latter problem contains the problem of classifying an arbitrary system of linear mappings on unitary spaces [22, Section 2.3], it is reasonable to regard any unitarily wild problem as hopeless (by analogy with nonunitary matrix problems that contain the problem of classifying pairs of matrices under similarity [2]).

Two lemmas are useful in showing that the unitary congruence classification problems for (a) cubed normal matrices under unitary *congruence, and (b) for matrices A such that $A\bar{A}A$ is normal, are both unitarily wild.

Lemma 8.21 *Let* $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_d$ *be given distinct complex numbers and let* $F, F^{'} \in M_n$ *be given conformally partitioned block upper triangular matrices*

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 I_{n_1} & F_{12} & \cdots & F_{1d} \\ & \lambda_2 I_{n_2} & \cdots & F_{2d} \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & & \lambda_d I_{n_d} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad F^{'} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 I_{n_1} & F^{'}_{12} & \cdots & F^{'}_{1d} \\ & \lambda_2 I_{n_2} & \cdots & F^{'}_{2d} \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & & \lambda_d I_{n_d} \end{bmatrix}$$

in which $n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_d = n$. *If* $S \in M_n$ *and* $SF = F^{'}S$, *then* S *is block upper triangular conformal to* F. *If, in addition,* S *is normal, then* S *is block diagonal conformal to* F.

Proof. Partition $S = [S_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^{d}$ conformally to F. Compare corresponding (i, j) blocks of SF and $F^{'}S$ in the order $(d, 1), (d, 2), \ldots, (d, d-1)$ to conclude that each of $S_{d,1}, S_{d,2}, \ldots, S_{d,d-1}$ is a zero block. Then continue by comparing the blocks in positions $(d-1, 1), (d-1, 2), \ldots, (d-1, d-2)$, etc. If S is normal and block upper triangular, then Lemma 4.2(a) ensures that it is block diagonal. ■

Lemma 8.22 *Let* $\sigma_1 > \sigma_2 > \cdots > \sigma_d \geq 0$ *and* $\sigma^{'}_1 > \sigma^{'}_2 > \cdots > \sigma^{'}_d \geq 0$ *be given nonnegative real numbers, let* $D, D^{'} \in M_n$ *be given conformally partitioned block diagonal matrices*

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 I_{n_1} & & & \\ & \sigma_2 I_{n_2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \sigma_d I_{n_d} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad D^{'} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^{'}_1 I_{n_1} & & & \\ & \sigma^{'}_2 I_{n_2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \sigma^{'}_d I_{n_d} \end{bmatrix}$$

in which $n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_d = n$. *If* $U, V \in M_n$ *are unitary and* $DU = VD^{'}$, *then* $\sigma_i = \sigma^{'}_i$ *for each* $i = 1, \ldots, d$, *and there are unitary matrices* $W_1 \in M_{n_1}, \ldots, W_{d-1} \in M_{n_{d-1}}$ *and* $\tilde{U}, \tilde{V} \in M_{n_d}$ *such that* $U = W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_{d-1} \oplus \tilde{U}$ *and* $V = W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_{d-1} \oplus \tilde{V}$; *if* $\sigma_d > 0$ *then* $\tilde{U} = \tilde{V}$.

Proof. Let $A = DU = VD^{'}$. The eigenvalues of $AA^* = D^2$ and $A^*A = (D^{'})^2$ are the same, so $D = D^{'}$. Moreover,

$$AA^* = (DU)(DU)^* = D^2 = VD^2V^*$$

and

$$A^*A = U^*D^2U = (VD)^*(VD) = D^2,$$

so D^2 commutes with both U and V and hence each of U and V is block diagonal conformal to D. The identity $DU = VD$ ensures that the diagonal blocks of U and V corresponding to each $\sigma_i > 0$ are equal. ■

Theorem 8.23 *The problem of classifying square complex matrices* A *up to unitary *congruence is unitarily wild in both of the following two cases:*

(a) $A^3 = 0$.

(b) A *is nonsingular and* A^3 *is normal.*

Proof. (a) Let $F, F^{'} \in M_k$ be given. Define

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0_k & I_k & F \\ 0_k & 0_k & I_k \\ 0_k & 0_k & 0_k \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A^{'} = \begin{bmatrix} 0_k & I_k & F^{'} \\ 0_k & 0_k & I_k \\ 0_k & 0_k & 0_k \end{bmatrix}, \tag{56}$$

so that $A^3 = (A^{'})^3 = 0$ for any choices of F and $F^{'}$. Suppose A and $A^{'}$ are unitarily *congruent, that is, suppose there is a unitary $U = [U_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^3 \in M_{3k}$, partitioned conformally to A, such that $AU = UA^{'}$. Then $A^2U = (UA^{'})^2$; the $1,3$ blocks of A^2 and $(A^{'})^2$ are I_k and all their other blocks are 0_k. Comparison of the first block rows and the third block columns of both sides of $A^2U = (UA^{'})^2$ reveals that $U_{11} = U_{33}$ and $U_{31} = U_{32} = U_{21} = 0_k$. It follows that U is block diagonal since it is normal and block upper triangular. Comparison of the $1,3$ blocks of both sides of $AU = UA^{'}$ shows that $FU_{22} = U_{11}F^{'}$; comparison of the $1,2$ blocks shows that $U_{11} = U_{22}$. Thus, A and $A^{'}$ are unitarily *congruent if and only if F and $F^{'}$ are unitarily *congruent.

(b) Let $F, F^{'} \in M_k$ be given. Define the two nonsingular matrices

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda I_{2k} & 0 & A_{13} \\ 0 & \mu I_{3k} & G \\ 0 & 0 & I_{3k} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A^{'} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda I_{2k} & 0 & A_{13}^{'} \\ 0 & \mu I_{3k} & G \\ 0 & 0 & I_{3k} \end{bmatrix},$$

in which $\lambda = (-1 + i\sqrt{3})/2$ and $\mu = \bar{\lambda}$ are the two distinct roots of $t^2 + t + 1 = 0$,

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} 3I_k & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2I_k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_k \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_{13} = \begin{bmatrix} I_k & I_k & F \\ 0_k & I_k & I_k \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and } A_{13}^{'} = \begin{bmatrix} I_k & I_k & F^{'} \\ 0_k & I_k & I_k \end{bmatrix}.$$

A computation reveals that $A^3 = (A^{'})^3 = \lambda^3 I_{2k} \oplus \mu^3 I_{3k} \oplus I_{3k}$ is diagonal (and hence normal) for any choices of F and $F^{'}$. Suppose A and $A^{'}$ are unitarily *congruent, that is, suppose there is a unitary $U = [U_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^3 \in M_{8k}$, partitioned conformally to A, such that $AU = UA^{'}$. Lemma 8.21 ensures that U is block diagonal. Since

$$(AU)_{23} = GU_{33} = U_{22}G = (UA)_{23},$$

Lemma 8.22 ensures that $U_{33} = U_{22}$ and that $U_{33} = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_3$ is block diagonal conformal to G. Partition $U_{11} = [W_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^2$, in which $W_{11}, W_{22} \in M_k$. Equating the $1,3$ blocks of both sides of the identity $AU = UA^{'}$ gives the identity

$$A_{13}U_{33} = \begin{bmatrix} I_kV_1 & V_2 & FV_3 \\ 0_k & V_2 & V_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} W_{11} & W_{12} \\ W_{21} & W_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_k & I_k & F^{'} \\ 0_k & I_k & I_k \end{bmatrix} = U_{11}A_{13}^{'}.$$

Comparison of the $2,1$ blocks of both sides of this identity tells us that $W_{21} = 0$, so W is block upper triangular and hence $W_{12} = 0$ as well. Comparison of the $2,2$ and $2,3$ blocks tells us that $V_2 = W_{22} = V_3$ and comparison of the $1,2$ blocks tells us that $V_2 = W_{11}$. Finally, comparison of the $1,3$ blocks and using $V_3 = W_{11}$ reveals that $FV_3 = V_3F^{'}$, so A and $A^{'}$ are unitarily *congruent if and only if F and $F^{'}$ are unitarily *congruent. ■

Theorem 8.24 *(a) The problem of classifying square complex matrices A such that $A\bar{A}A = 0$ up to unitary congruence contains the problem of classifying arbitrary square matrices up to unitary congruence.*

(b) The problem of classifying square complex matrices up to unitary congruence is unitarily wild.

Proof. (a) Suppose the matrices A and $A^{'}$ in (56) are unitarily congruent, that is, $AU = \bar{U}A^{'}$ for some unitary $U = [U_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^{3}$ that is partitioned conformally to A. Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} U_{21} + FU_{31} & U_{22} + FU_{32} & U_{23} + FU_{33} \\ U_{31} & U_{32} & U_{33} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \bar{U}_{11} & \bar{U}_{11}F^{'} + \bar{U}_{12} \\ 0 & \bar{U}_{21} & \bar{U}_{21}F^{'} + \bar{U}_{22} \\ 0 & \bar{U}_{31} & \bar{U}_{31}F^{'} + \bar{U}_{32} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{57}$$

Comparing the $2,1$ blocks of both sides of (57) tells us that $U_{31} = 0$, and then comparing the $1,1$ blocks as well as the $3,3$ blocks tells us that $U_{21} = U_{32} = 0$. Since U is block upper triangular and normal, it is block diagonal. Comparing the $1,2$ blocks and the $2,3$ blocks of (57) now tells us that $\bar{U}_{11} = U_{22} = \bar{U}_{33}$, so $U_{11} = U_{33}$. Finally, comparing the $1,3$ blocks reveals that $FU_{11} = \bar{U}_{11}F^{'}$, that is, A and $A^{'}$ are unitarily congruent if and only if F and $F^{'}$ are unitarily congruent.

(b) Let $F, F^{'} \in M_k$ be given and suppose that

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0_k & I_k & 0 & F \\ 0 & 0_k & I_k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0_k & I_k \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0_k \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A^{'} = \begin{bmatrix} 0_k & I_k & 0 & F^{'} \\ 0 & 0_k & I_k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0_k & I_k \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0_k \end{bmatrix}$$

are unitarily congruent, that is, $AU = \bar{U}A^{'}$ for some unitary $U = [U_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^{4}$ that is partitioned conformally to A. An adaptation of the argument in part (a) shows that U is block diagonal, $U_{22} = \bar{U}_{11}$, $U_{33} = U_{11}$, and $U_{44} = \bar{U}_{11}$. Hence, $FU_{11} = U_{11}F^{'}$. We conclude that A and $A^{'}$ are unitarily congruent if and only if F and $F^{'}$ are unitarily *congruent. ■

8.9 A bounded iteration

Suppose $A \in M_n$ is nonsingular and let $x_0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be given. Define $x_1, x_2, \ldots$ by

$$A^T x_{k+1} + Ax_k = 0,\ k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots. \tag{58}$$

Under what conditions on A is the sequence $x_1, x_2, \ldots$ bounded for all choices of x_0?

We have

$$x_{k+1} = -A^{-T}Ax_k = \cdots = (-1)^k \left(A^{-T}A\right)^{k+1} x_0,$$

so boundedness of the solution sequence for all choices of x_0 requires that no eigenvalue of the cosquare $A^{-T}A$ has modulus greater than 1. Moreover, every Jordan block of any eigenvalue of modulus 1 must be 1-by-1. Inspection of (1) reveals that the Jordan Canonical Form of $A^{-T}A$ must have the form (2), in which each $\mu_j \neq 1$ and $|\mu_j| = 1$. Theorem 3.2(a) ensures that A is congruent to a direct sum of blocks of the two types

$$[1] \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \mu & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad |\mu| = 1 \neq \mu. \tag{59}$$

Corollary 8.7 ensures that the 2-by-2 blocks in (59) may be replaced by 2-by-2 real orthogonal blocks (41) or by 2-by-2 Hermitian unitary blocks (42).

Conversely, if $A = SUS^T$ for some nonsingular S and unitary U, then

$$0 = A^T x_{k+1} + Ax_k = SU^T S^T x_{k+1} + SUS^T x_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots$$

if and only if

$$\xi_{k+1} = (-1)^k \left(\bar{U}U\right)^{k+1} \xi_0, \quad \xi_k := S^T x_k, \, k = 1, 2, \ldots.$$

The sequence $\xi_0, \xi_1, ...$ is bounded since $\bar{U}U$ is unitary. In summary, we have the following

Theorem 8.25 *Let $A \in M_n$ be nonsingular. The following are equivalent:*

(a) The sequence $x_1, x_2 \ldots$ defined by

$$A^T x_{k+1} + Ax_k = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$$

is bounded for each given $x_0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

(b) A is congruent to a unitary matrix.

(c) A is congruent to a real orthogonal matrix.

(d) A is congruent to a Hermitian unitary matrix.

(e) A is congruent to a nonsingular conjugate normal matrix.

Parallel reasoning using Theorems 3.1(b) and 3.2(b) leads to similar conclusions about the conjugate transpose version of (58).

Theorem 8.26 *Let $A \in M_n$ be nonsingular. The following are equivalent:*

(a) The sequence $x_1, x_2 \ldots$ defined by

$$A^* x_{k+1} + Ax_k = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$$

is bounded for each given $x_0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

*(b) A is *congruent to a unitary matrix.*

*(c) A is diagonalizable by *congruence.*

*(d) A is *congruent to a nonsingular normal matrix.*

9 Some comments about previous work

Lemma 4.1(a) is often called the Fuglede-Putnam Theorem.

The assertion in Corollary 8.2(b) that two unitary matrices are *congruent if and only if they are unitarily *congruent was proved in [19] with an elegant use of uniqueness of the polar decomposition.

The unitary congruence canonical form (37) for a coninvolutory matrix was proved in [10, Theorem 1.5].

Wigner [25] obtained a unitary congruence canonical form (40) for unitary matrices in which the 2-by-2 blocks are the Hermitian unitary blocks (42).

In [1], Autonne used a careful study of uniqueness of the unitary factors in the singular value decomposition to prove many basic results, for example: a nonsingular complex symmetric matrix is diagonalizable under unitary congruence; a complex normal matrix is unitarily similar to a diagonal matrix; a real normal matrix is real orthogonally similar to a real block diagonal matrix with 1-by-1 and 2-by-2 blocks, in which the latter are scalar multiples of real orthogonal matrices; similar unitary matrices are unitarily similar. Lemma 8.22 is a special case of Autonne's uniqueness theorem; for an exposition see [9, Theorem $3.1.1'$].

Hua proved the canonical form (17) for a nonsingular skew symmetric matrix under unitary congruence in [14, Theorem 7]; Theorem 5 in the same paper is the corresponding canonical form for a nonsingular symmetric matrix.

The first studies of conjugate normal and congruence normal matrices seem to be [23] and [7].

The canonical form (33) for a squared normal matrix (and hence the canonical form (31)) can be deduced from Lemma 2.2 of [22]).

Each squared normal matrix can be reduced to the form (33) by employing the key ideas in Littlewood's algorithm [18] for reducing matrices to canonical form by unitary similarity. An exposition of this alternative approach to Theorem 7.4, as well as a canonical form for real squared normal matrices under real orthogonal congruences, is in [4].

D.Ž. Đoković proved the canonical form (49) for ordinary projections ($\lambda = 1$) in [3]; for a different proof see [22, p. 46]. George and Ikramov [6] used D.Ž. Đoković's canonical form to derive a decomposition of the form (46) for an involution; in addition, they used Specht's Criterion to prove Corollary 8.15(b). For an ordinary projection P, and without employing any canonical form for P, Lewkowicz [17] identified all of the singular values of P and $I - P$.

The block matrix (55) and the characterization of conjugate normal matrices in Theorem 8.20(d) was studied in [5, Proposition 2]. The characterization of conjugate normal matrices via the criterion in Theorem 8.17(a) is in [5, Proposition 3].

Theorem 8.23(a) was proved in [22, p. 45].

In [15], Ikramov proved that any matrix with a quadratic minimal polynomial is unitarily *congruent to a direct sum of the form (50). His characterization of the positive parameters γ_i is different from ours: If $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$, he found that $\gamma = |\lambda_1 - \lambda_2| \tan \alpha$, in which α is the angle between any pair of left and right λ_1-eigenvectors of the block

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & \gamma_i \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This pleasant characterization fails if $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$; our characterization (using eigenvalues and singular values) is valid for all λ_1, λ_2.

The authors learned about the bounded iteration problem in Section 8.9 from Leiba Rodman and Peter Lancaster, who solved it using canonical pairs.

References

[1] L. Autonne, Sur les matrices hypohermitiennes et sur les matrices unitaires, *Annales de L'Université de Lyons, Nouvelle Série I*, Fasicule 38, 1915.

[2] G. R. Belitskii and V. V. Sergeichuk, Complexity of matrix problems, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 361 (2003) 203-222.

[3] D. Ž. D.Ž. Đoković, Unitary similarity of projectors, *Aequationes Math.* 42 (1991) 220-224.

[4] V. Futorny, R. A. Horn, and V. V. Sergeichuk, Classification of squared normal operators on unitary and Euclidean spaces, to appear in *Fundam. Prikl. Mat.* (in Russian) and in *J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.)* (in English).

[5] H. Faßbender and Kh. D. Ikramov, Some observations on the Youla form and conjugate-normal matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* (2006) IN PRESS.

[6] A. George and Kh. Ikramov, Unitary similarity of matrices with quadratic minimal polynomials, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 349 (2002) 11-16.

[7] F. Herbut, P. Loncke, and M. Vujicic, Canonical form for matrices under unitary congruence transformations. II: congruence-normal matrices, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* 24 (1973) 794-805.

[8] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1985.

[9] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson, *Topics in Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1991.

[10] R. A. Horn and D. Merino, A real-coninvolutory analog of the polar decomposition, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 190 (1993) 209-227.

[11] R. A. Horn and V. V. Sergeichuk, Congruences of a square matrix and its transpose, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 389 (2004) 347-353.

[12] R. A. Horn and V. V. Sergeichuk, A regularization algorithm for matrices of bilinear and sesquilinear forms, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 412 (2006) 380-395.

[13] R. A. Horn and V. V. Sergeichuk, Canonical forms for complex matrix congruence and *congruence, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 416 (2006) 1010-1032.

[14] L. Hua, On the theory of automorphic functions of a matrix variable. I: geometrical basis, *Amer. J. Math.* 66 (1944) 470-488.

[15] Kh. Ikramov, The canonical Schur form of a unitary quasidiagonalizable matrix, *Comput. Math. Math. Phys.* 37 (1997) 1367-1371.

[16] Kh. Ikramov, On the inertia law for normal matrices, *Doklady Math.* 64 (2001) 141-142.

[17] I. Lewkowicz, Bounds for the singular values of a matrix with nonnegative eigenvalues, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 11 (1989) 29-37.

[18] D. E. Littlewood, On unitary equivalence, *J. London Math. Soc.* 28 (1953) 314-322.

[19] C. R. Johnson and S. Furtado, A generalization of Sylvester's law of inertia, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 338 (2001) 287-290.

[20] C. Pearcy, A complete set of unitary invariants for 3×3 complex matrices, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 104 (1962) 425-429.

[21] V. V. Sergeichuk, Classification of linear operators in a finite-dimensional unitary space, *Functional Anal. Appl.* 18 (1984) 224-230.

[22] V. V. Sergeichuk, Unitary and Euclidean representations of a quiver, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 278 (1998) 37-62.

[23] M. Vujicic, F. Herbut, and G. Vujicic, Canonical form for matrices under unitary congruence transformations. I: conjugate-normal matrices, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* 23 (1972) 225-238.

[24] G. E. Wall, On the conjugacy classes in the unitary, symplectic and orthogonal groups, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* 3 (1963) 1-62.

[25] E. Wigner, Normal form of antiunitary operators, *J. Math. Phys.* 1 (1960) 409-413.